## **Sarcouncil Journal of Applied Sciences**

#### ISSN(Online): 2945-3437





Research Article

Received: 03-09-2024 | Accepted: 22-09-2024 | Published: 27-10-2024

# 'Analogue of Hartogs' Lemma for Pluriharmonic Functions

#### Ruzimbay M. Madrakhimov

Candidate of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Professor, Tashkent State Pedagogical University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

**Abstract:** In this paper, an analogue of the Hartogs' lemma for pluriharmonic functions is proved. The conditions of the theorem are, of course, necessary for the pluriharmonicity of functions of many variables. Conditions 1, characterizing the harmonicity of a function in direction  $z_n$  is standard in theorems of continuation along lines. It defines the principle of continuation of a function in a direction.

**Keywords:** Holomorphic function, real-analytic function, harmonic functions, subharmonic functions, plurisubharmonic functions, pluriharmonic functions.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Pluriharmonic functions play an important role in the theory of functions of many complex variables. Being a real part of analytic functions, they are often used in studies of geometric properties of the class of analytic functions, in the theory of integral formulas, in complex potential theory, and others ([Ronkin, L. I, 1971]-[Madrakhimov, R. M. *et al.*, 2001]).

In this article we consider the issues of continuation of pluriharmonic functions along the direction of the axis

 $OZ_n$ . An analogue of the Hartogs lemma for pluriharmonic functions is proved.

Theorem: Let the function  $U(z, z_n)$  defined in the polycircle  $V \times \{ |z_n| < R \} \subset C_{z_n}^{n-1} \times C_{z_n}, R > 0$  and satisfies the following conditions: For each fixed

 $z \in V$  function  $U(z, z_n)$  complex variable  $z_n$  harmonious in the circle  $|z_n| < R$ 

- 1) U('z,0) harmonious in 'V by 'Z
- 2) function  $U(z, z_n)$  m- subgamonic (plurisubharmonic) in some polycircle  $V \times \{|z_n| < r\}, R > r > 0$
- 3) Then the function  $U(z, z_n)$  pluriharmonic in a polycircle  $V \times \{ |z_n| < R \}$

To prove the theorem we need the following lemma, which is a harmonic analogue of this theorem.

Lemma. Let the function  $U(z, z_n)$  defined in the polycircle  $V \times V_n$  is satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) For each fixed  $z \in V$  function  $U(z, z_n)$  variable  $z_n$  harmonious in the circle  $V_n$
- 2) Function U(z,0) harmonious in V по z
- 3) Function  $U(z, z_n)$  subharmonic in a polycircle  $V \times V_n$
- 4) Then the function  $U(z, z_n)$  harmonious in a polycircle  $V \times V_n$ .

The conditions of the theorem are, of course, necessary for the pluriharmonicity of functions of many variables. Conditions 1, characterizing the harmonicity of a function in direction  $z_n$  is standard in theorems of continuation along lines. It defines the principle of continuation of a function in a direction. Note that conditions 2 and 3 are also essential: function  $U(z_1, z_2) = x_1 x_2$  in a circle  $V = \{|z_1| < 1, |z_2| < 1\}$  satisfies conditions 1,2, however it does not satisfy condition 3, and the function

 $U(z_1, z_2) = |Z_1|^2$  satisfies conditions 1.3, but it is also not pluriharmonic in  $V = \{|z_1| < 1, |z_2| < 1\}$  (condition 2 is not met).

Proof. Let's take an arbitrary number r < R and for fixed  $z \in V$  и  $|z_n| < r$  according to the Poisson formula we express  $U(z, z_n)$  via the integral over a circle,

$$U('z, z_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} U('z, \xi) \operatorname{Re}(\frac{\xi + z_n}{\xi - z_n}) dt \quad (I), \quad \text{where} \quad \xi = re^{it}$$

First, for the sake of clarity of presentation, let us consider the case when  $U \in C^2(/V \times V_n)$ , i.e. U is a twice smooth function with respect to the set of variables.

By the condition of the lemma, the Laplace operator

$$\Delta U = \Delta_{z_n} U + \Delta_{z_n} U = \Delta_z U \ge 0$$

Because U harmonious in  $Z_n$ , and therefore  $\Delta_{z_n} U = 0$  at any fixed  $z \in V$ . From here and from formula (I) we have

$$\Delta U = \Delta_{z} U = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta_{z} U(z,\xi) \operatorname{Re}(\frac{\xi + z_{n}}{\xi - z_{n}}) dt \ge 0$$

Let's consider the function,

$$\psi(z, z_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta_z U(z, \xi) \frac{\xi + z_n}{\xi - z_n} dt$$

the real part of which coincides with  $\Delta U$ . It is clear that it is holomorphic in  $Z_n$  in a circle  ${}^{\prime}V \times \{|z_n| < r\}$  for any fixed  ${}^{\prime}Z \in {}^{\prime}V$ . Now, from condition 3 of the lemma it follows that the Laplace operator  $\Delta_{{}^{\prime}z}U({}^{\prime}z, 0) = 0$ 

According to the mean value theorem (which also follows from the expression (I) при  $Z_n = 0$ ) we have

$$\Delta_{z} U(z,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta_{z} U(z,\xi) dt$$
  
From here  $\psi(z,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta_{z} U(z,\xi) dt = \Delta_{z} U(z,0) = 0$ 

From the property of openness of holomorphic functions, holomorphic in  $Z_n$  function  $\Psi(z, z_n)$  either  $\equiv 0$ , or maps a neighborhood of zero to some neighborhood of zero. The latter is impossible due to the fact that

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi = \Delta U \ge 0 \quad {}_{\operatorname{B}} \quad {}^{\prime}V \times \{ |z_n| < r \}$$
  
Hence,  $\psi \equiv 0$ . Thus  $\Delta U = 0$  in  $\quad {}^{\prime}V \times \{ |z_n| < r \}$ . Because,  $r < R$  arbitrary, then from here we get the harmonic function  $U({}^{\prime}z, z_n)$  in  $\quad {}^{\prime}V \times V_n$ .

12

Now let us consider the general case when is an arbitrary subharmonic function.

By the condition of the lemma, in this case the Laplacian  $\Delta U$  the functional defined by the integral is positive in the generalized sense

$$(\Delta U, \varphi) = (U, \Delta \psi) = \int \Delta U \varphi dV$$

where  $\varphi$  - infinitely smooth, finite in a polycircle  $V \times V_n$  function is positive, i.e.  $(\Delta U, \varphi) \ge 0$  at  $\varphi \ge 0$ . In particular, for any finite non-negative functions  $\varphi_1(z), \varphi_2(z_n)$  class  $C^{\infty}$  respectively in the areas V and  $V_n$  we have  $(U, \Delta(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)) = \int_{V \times V_n} U(z, z_n) \Delta(\varphi_1(z), \varphi_2(z_n)) dV \ge 0$ 

where dV-volume forms in  $C^n_{,Because}$ ,  $\Delta(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2) = (\Delta_{z} \varphi_1) \varphi_2 + \varphi_1(\Delta z_n \varphi_2)$ 

Then, according to Fubini's theorem and from harmonicity U по  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  we have

$$(U, \Delta(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2)) = \int_{V_n} \left\{ \int_{V_V} U \Delta_{V_z} \varphi_1 d' V \right\} \varphi_2 dV_n + \int_{V_n} \left\{ \int_{V_V} U \Delta_{V_z} \varphi_2 dV_n \right\} \varphi_1 d' V =$$
$$= \int_{V_n} \left\{ \int_{V_V} U \Delta_{V_z} \varphi_1 d' V \right\} \varphi_2 dV_n$$

where d'V and  $dV_n$  - volume formulas in  $C_{z_n}^{n-1}$  and  $C_{z_n}$  respectively. From here

$$\left(U, \Delta\left(\varphi_{1} \cdot \varphi_{2}\right)\right) = \int_{V_{n}} \left\{ \int_{V_{V}} \Delta\varphi_{1} d' V \right\} \varphi_{2} dV_{n} \ge 0$$
  
For all finite non-negative functions  $\varphi_{2}(z_{n})$ .

Therefore, the inner integral is positive:

$$\int_{V} U(z, z_n) \Delta \varphi_1(z) d' V$$

For each fixed  $Z_n \in V_n$ 

Hence, by Fubini's theorem and their formula (I) for each fixed r < R and for each fixed  $z_n \in V_n^{/} = \{|z_n| < r\}$ 

We have

$$(U, \Delta \varphi_1) = \int_V \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} U(z, \xi) \operatorname{Re}(\frac{\xi + z_n}{\xi - z_n}) dt \right\} \Delta \varphi_1 d' V =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \int_V U(z, \xi) \Delta \varphi_1 d' V \right\} \operatorname{Re}(\frac{\xi + z_n}{\xi - z_n}) dt \ge 0$$

Where  $\xi = re^{it}$ 

It is clear that the function

$$\psi(z_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \int_V U(z,\xi) \Delta \varphi_1 dV \right\} \frac{\xi + z_n}{\xi - z_n} dt$$

holomorphic in  $Z_n$  at  $V_n'$  , and

$$\psi(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{ \int_{V} U(z,\xi) \Delta \varphi_{1} dV \right\} dt = \int_{V} U(z,0) \Delta \varphi_{1}(z) dV = 0$$

According to the mean value theorem and the condition of the lemma. Because

$$(U,\Delta\varphi_1) = \operatorname{Re}\psi \ge 0$$

In  $V_n^{\prime}$ , then it follows from this that  $\psi \equiv 0$ From here

$$\int_{V} U(z, z_n) \Delta \varphi_1 d' V = 0$$

For each fixed  $|z_n| < r$  and for any non-negative in V function  $\varphi_1$ . Therefore, for every fixed  $Z_n \in V_n$  function  $U(z, z_n)$  harmonious in V by Z. Now it is easy to show that  $\Delta U = 0$  in a generalized sense. Indeed, for any finite in the polycircle  $V \times V_n$  function  $\Psi$  we have:  $(U, \Delta \psi) = \int U \Delta \psi dV = \int U (\Delta \psi + \Delta \psi) dV =$ 

$$(U, \Delta \psi) = \int U \Delta \psi dV = \int U (\Delta_{z_n} \psi + \Delta_{z_n} \psi) dV =$$
$$= \int U \Delta_{z_n} \psi dV + \int U \Delta_{z_n} \psi dV = 0$$

Therefore, the Laplace operator  $\Delta U$  from the subharmonic function U equals zero in the general sense. Such a subharmonic function must be harmonic according to the Riesz representation. The lemma is proved. Let us proceed to the proof of the theorem. It follows from the proven lemma and from the following fact: if the function U - simultaneously harmonic and plurisubharmonic in the polycircle  ${}^{\prime}V \times V_n$ , then it is pluriharmonic in the polycircle  ${}^{\prime}V \times V_n$ . Besides this, there is the following fact: if the function U - simultaneously harmonic [9] and m-subharmonic in the polycircle  ${}^{\prime}V \times V_n$ , then it is pluriharmonic in the polycircle  ${}^{\prime}V \times V_n$ 

From the conditions of Theorem I, according to Lemma I, it follows that  $U(z, z_n)$  harmonious in a polycircle  $V \times \{|z_n| < r\}$ .

## CONCLUSION

Then from plurisubharmonicity U in this polycircle it follows that the function U is pluriharmonic in  ${}^{\prime}V \times \{|z_n| < r\}$ . From the analogue of the Hartogs lemma for pluriharmonic functions we obtain that U pluriharmonic in a large polycircle  ${}^{\prime}V \times \{|z_n| < R\}$ . The theorem is proven.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Ronkin, L. I. "Introduction to the Theory of Entire Functions of Many Variables." *Moscow: Science*, (1971): 432.
- 2. Forelli, F. "Pluriharmonicity in Terms of Harmonic Slices." *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 41.1 (1977): 358–364.
- 3. Rudin, W. "Pluriharmonic Functions in Balls." *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 62.1 (1977): 44-46.
- 4. Shabat, B. V. "Introduction to Complex Analysis." Part 2. Moscow: Science, (1976).
- 5. Rudin, W. "Theory of Functions in the Unit Ball from CnC<sup>n</sup>Cn." Moscow: Mir, (1984).
- 6. Madrakhimov, R. M. "Some Criteria of Pluriharmonicity." *Izvestiya of the Academy of Sciences of the Uzbek SSR. Series of Physical-Mathematical Sciences*, no. 3 (1986): 28-32.
- 7. Madrakhimov, R. M. & Vaisova, M. D. "Criteria of Pluriharmonicity of Harmonic Functions." *DAN RUz*, no. 5 (2008): 19-20.
- 8. Madrakhimov, R. M. & Vaisova, M. D. "Criteria of nnn-Harmonicity and Pluriharmonicity of Harmonic Functions." *Uzbek Mathematical Journal*, no. 1 (2009): 107-111.
- 9. Abdullaev, B. I. & Vaisova, M. D. "Analogue of Lelong's Theorem for mmm-Subharmonic Functions." *DAN RUz*, no. 1 (2015): 6-9.

### Source of support: Nil; Conflict of interest: Nil.

### Cite this article as:

Madrakhimov, R.M. "Analogue of Hartogs' Lemma for Pluriharmonic Functions." *Sarcouncil Journal of Applied Sciences* 4.10 (2024): pp 10-14