

The Instruction of International System after Ukraine War

Abdul Ghafoor Sajed and Saifurahman Rahmani

Assistant professors at the faculty of Law and Political Science, Kunduz University, Afghanistan

Abstract: Ukraine's war is part of combat for new world discipline. Russia and china clearly challenged the America and its allies. But that what is the world discipline is still a question. Moscow and Beijing are following of model concert of Multi polar with zones of infiltration monopolized. But the United States despite of unwillingness of burgeoning for role police world. But lots of Americans didn't leave still unipolar. And America with support of partnership and allies is still following existence discipline. America in role of leader of military police by supporting from Ukraine, and china as participant of economic-policy from Russia but disagrees of each direct interfered is in war. China is only country which has long strategy of changing military power. Duel strategic of China and America on leadership of world is going on new step. That one of them is playing with Ukraine's card for strengthen of location of two countries. And probable the future of Asia will be like past of Europe. America for threatens of china to seeking of new classifications. Strengthen contact with, India, Australia, New Zealand and extending relation with South Korean and Japan and also mobilizing of countries of such, Bangladesh, Indonesia, Magzik, Brazil, Nigeria and South Africa is for producing of cheaper clothes for entering in international trades and all of them is for demarcating of china economic-power. The existence discipline which takes form after 1945 by America and its allies is right now by emersion of powerful economic of china and Russia unsatisfying combativeness is gone to challenge.

Keywords: International system; Ukraine war; Probability scenarios; multi polar system.

INTRODUCTION

Undoubtedly, the Ukraine crisis deals and existence relating in international relations will face to basic changes. One of probable and predictive of this crisis will be regained emersion of two military and policy power. The assertion and demand of chief secretary from Putin about exist of his military forces from Ukraine. The view of White Palace about a expectancy world war from a kind of deadly which is not still in world. And support of weapon, financial, and immaterial of west from Ukraine and emergency sessions of NATO and Security Council is all about basic crisis in international system. Also there is another probable that Russia defeated once again and like 1991 enters in a long-term of political and economic crisis. Russia despite having an authoritarian political system with having of superiorities military against all countries and region and most east and south European countries, its policy always base on Ideological context and conflicting structure is with democratic region and world liberal democracy. With the collapse of the Soviet Union and the hegemony of discourse, Russia changed to a solitary country in international system and Warsaw's member countries step by step near to border of NATO. The recent policies of the west led by the United States, and the creating of a defense-system security in all regions of the world (Eastern Europe, Asia, Africa, Oceania the Persian Galf and the Middle East) have mad America the superior power in all fields. But the withdrawal from Iraq, Syria and Afghanistan, as well as America's focus on china and NATO's

decisiveness in confronting Russia on turkey and the Crimean peninsula gave the Russia opportunity to show itself once again, and deepen its strategic presence after three decades of political and military isolation.

Russia in conflicts and internal fire of middle Asia, at same time point its focus on Ukraine, and after celebrating an military maneuver in near borders of that country, it responded positively to the Crimean peninsula's referendum on annexation to Russia and officially annexed it to his territory, which led to a military attack on Ukraine and entered into an unpredictable conflict with the west and NATO. But this hypothesis seems to be probably correct that Ukraine is Russia's last defensive shield to deal with possible NATO threats from the east, and that Russia will impose its owner puppet government with the fall of the democratic government of Ukraine.

METHODS OF RESEARCH

This article has written according to the library method, and also for complement of the research utilized from the internet sources.

Ukraine's Crisis and Probable Scenarios

In first scenario, if Kremlin staunchly failed in this heroic impasse, maybe despite of these recent contrary from composes from china. We will witness again of unipolar system. Although Ukraine is possible to be an uncompleted work for Putin, but this is the situation of Russia which counts an uncompleted work for west. The victory of Ukraine will mad Russia to a domestic and

tamed. Russia calmly gives this facility to west that easier exist from work of china that the bit obstacle against liberals hegemonies and “end of history” “is the expectations of west’s. The second scenario is that if the conflict leads to an incomplete but acceptable resolution of the bilateral conflict, the end result is that the confrontation between the Russia and Ukraine models will be delayed. The fierce competition between two models of social organization will continue, but hopefully in a less brutal fashion. The not so great conciliation is possible between west and Russia will have sought. If agreement be possible with Putin, agreement with “Xi Jin Ping” will be legal continue. However neared china and west need to tense. Energy and more infliction are from west.

The third scenario is that if there is not be agreement about Ukraine and conflict by wheel’s ceasefire insecure, and after that the next threats continue, we should have decay expectation from local and global organization. Inefficient international organizations are possible in weaponries match conflict in local nuclear war. Such changes will only cause to more anarchy in next year’s. I consider the reform scenario, in which an agreement is reached to end the war, to be the best option for all parties. The other scenarios either led to too rapid changes or block much-needed changes. In both scenarios the political risks will multiply. if the conflict leads to a gradual orderly and non-violent transition in which the world order becomes more stable, it mean that the human race is preserved and Ukraine’s sacrifices are not wasted (Korsakov, 1401). One of the scenarios the increasing power of china during the Ukraine crisis, and that is a situation where Russia is isolated and in the east, it is china that will be the one who will be the one this possibility is based mostly on the economic variable. Although it cannot be it keep this from his mind that the realist theory. Economic integration will eventually be lost in favor of political integration, but as china as shown this country has emphasized more on economic factor and ideologically and political integration are fundamentally different favor the alliance. It has been the Soviet Union and the current Russia. Although Russia’s security concerns are understandable, the mystery of china’s security lies elsewhere and in other area (Sanjar, 1400).

According to reviews of America information organization, mean two reports of NIE,CSIS which broadcasted after begins of war, the worst scenario

became completed to occupy the Kyiv, Ukraine that changed this country to Moscow and Russia had not a;en to that zone. And the best scenario was that which Zelensky escape from country like (escape of Ashraf Ghani from Afghanistan) and agreement to favor of Russia in Kyiv that’s not fitful. Of course Americans was not insurance from Zelensky resistance, but they probably gave needful consultations about resistance to him. According to this review the next following scenarios for Russia, occupy of eastern cities and cutting contact of Ukraine to black river and put on Ukraine in long-war and not feeling of security in this country. According to this review America at begins didn’t decide to direct interfere in this war. But by increasing military corporations to Ukraine and creating of western coalition for supporting Ukraine and putting more sanctions and economic-crashes. Even make this country able choker of Russia and put more expansive on Russia. In short, the war in Ukraine is not turning point, but a turning point in the last decade that Russia and the United States have been preparing for years, and for this reason, it is considering a war that neither side has the desire to end. They do not have an immediate exit from Ukraine it. This war actually a symbolic faced of Russia conflict with America over the past years, but it will accelerated the trends that have been formed in that region and the world over the past thirteen years (Mir Yusofi, 1401).

In level of global, from leaders of Europe and Asia until current and past authorities of America know the Ukraine’s was as a 75 reanimation discipline. With attacking of Russia in Ukraine’s expectation went that china attack on Taiwan and open new resistance against west. But unity and confederation against Russia and field warring-machine of this country in arriving to its goal be incomplete. Considering the dependence of china’s economic and the prolongation of the war, china’s entry into any public supporting of Russia or the imposition of war on Taiwan could have serious consequence for the country’s economic. Three important factors influenced china’s decision not to openly support Russia and not attack on Taiwan: the first factor was Russia’s military weakness as china’s strategic partner. The second influential was the display of cooperation and coordination between the United States and its allies in the Ukraine war. The third factor was the negative portrayal of china by western another cities and media as an irresponsible actor; while china’s leader always try to present image of

themselves to as a responsible activist, show their leadership ability in the international system (Mir koshish, 1402).

The important point in the Ukraine crisis is that Russia's attack on Ukraine is not only a violation of the existing international roles and other, but more importantly it challenge the identity that the west is trying rule, over this country and western Europe as a whole. Perhaps Russia attacked neutral country at this point in time; it would only be considered a violation of the role of international order and would not be considered a challenge to the identity of the west. Therefore we should pay attention to the question of what will be the relation of Europe and America to Russia's new game. Will they react quickly and return Russia to the previous game? Or will their reaction be such that they will return Russia to the previous game in the medium and long term? These scenarios can be proposed in this regard.

Scenario 1:

Putin announced on the first day of Ukraine's war each western's military reaction will face to hard reply of Russia. This threat not only becomes serious for westerns. Also it was a question for westerns that how will be the military reaction against Russia? Will not basically military reply, case to long war? Will not Nuclear-war happen when military interfere occur? All these reply assurance the America and Europe to this result that first existing scenario will be more from importance. Therefore they went to second point.

Scenario 2:

The hard consequences of military-war make assurance the west between time and longtime not only by military weapon rather by military economy. Currently this issue is one western desk however there is decisions about fulfill them now, from western's view this proceeding is the best reaction against Russia's operations. The issue which is useful here is using from second scenario's result. Will Russia believes to hard economic pressure to returning to the last border of Ukraine or will give self-government the part of Ukraine's dust, and resistance against all sanctions and will not pressure to backtracking from Ukraine (Emadi, 1400).

It is considering cannot have expectation what happen on Crimean will occur once again. Because happening such event will means the defense for west in supporting its allies and will put Russia with perfect war against west. From other side

Russia's compromise within much achievement that has internal and international consuming. It is far from expected. Because a weak picture of Russia will appear in level of internal and international. In this form we can consider the following scenario.

Erosion and Continued Conflict

Due to various reasons and directions, the conflict between Russia and Ukraine has the capacity to continue in an erosive manner and become one of the longest conflicts in contemporary history. There is a possibility that the parties to the conflict, either Russia or the supporters of Ukraine, and the United States of America at the head of them, due to the fear of the bitter consequences of the end of the conflict, will continue it in an erosive way and at a more limited level than the conditions of victory for one of the parties. In this case, the conflict in the peripheral regions of Ukraine may continue for long periods of time, and Ukraine, with the help of its Western allies, will carry out scattered attacks against Russian positions. Although this situation cannot continue for a long time, it provides the parties with the opportunity to decide the result in their own way. Among the events that can affect the outcome of this scenario are internal political changes in Russia, Ukraine, or the United States of America, which can lead to a change in their behavior.

Cescefire within Agreement

Considering the two important factors of making the conflict dignified and its impact on the international position of the parties, another possible scenario is a ceasefire without an agreement between the parties. In this case, the parties may turn to a truce without an agreement while maintaining their positions and claims. The most important consequence of this scenario is turning the conflict into a fire under the ashes, which can flare up again whenever the conditions are right. There are several drivers that are considered to be the basis for this scenario, including the "winter factor" and Europe's urgent need for Russian energy can reinforce the no-deal ceasefire scenario. Finally, summarizing that with the realization of the scenario of the continuation of the conflict due to reasons such as the increase in the costs incurred by the parties during this conflict, it cannot be expected to end with a decisive victory for one of the parties. The most likely scenario is the reduction of the level of conflict to an erosion level or a cease-fire without an agreement, in both cases the ground is set for the resumption of the conflict whenever the

conditions are suitable. Therefore, it is expected that the war between Russia and Ukraine will become one of the longest conflicts in modern history (Namdar wedwae, 1401).

Unification of War with Unconventional Weapons by Russia

America ended the war with Japan by using nuclear weapons in World War II, and now many Western leaders say that due to the current deadlock in the Ukraine war, Russia may use such a procedure. Westerners' warning to Russia about the possibility of using weak atomic, chemical, or biological weapons and Biden's declaration that America will not remain silent in such a situation is because the use of such weapons may change the fate of the war in Russia's favor. In the 1991 war for the liberation of Kuwait, the US, using weak nuclear weapons against the Iraqi armored forces, not only melted and destroyed thousands of tanks, vehicles and personnel carriers with this weapon, but also destroyed the powerful army of the Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein. He took the war from them. As a result of this great crime, until now, Iraqi people are paying the costs of this crime, and the prevalence of cancer in Iraq is nearly ten times the global rate. Usually, the use of unconventional weapons creates such terror on the other side that it destroys the will to fight (Roywaran, 2022).

The Instruction of International System after Ukraine's War

The talk of a new world order has been a topic of discussion in academic circles for a long time. This issue became more acute when on February 24, 2022, Russia under the leadership of Putin attacked Ukraine and seized/occupied part of this country's territory. Vladimir Putin spoke about the new world order for the first time at the Petersburg meeting. Whatever the outcome of the end of the war, there will be extensive changes in the international system. The Ukraine war will be a turning point in international relations, just like the Treaty of Westphalia, the Vienna Conference in 1815, World War I and World War II, the end of the Cold War, and September 11. If the Russians lose the war, it will mean a new life for liberal democracy, and if Russia wins, the world will go to the precipice of totalitarianism.

Bipolar or Multi Polar

The emerging international system is expected to have several centers of power, but the current divisions will probably dominate the shape of international politics for several years, which is

reflected in the non-geographic convergence of the West on the one hand and the expansion of China-Russia partnership on the other. In this context, China and Russia consider themselves to be at the forefront of the fight against the hegemony of the Western world, which is evident in their eagerness to expand partnerships with the rest of the world. At the last meeting of the leaders of the BRICS countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa) in June 2022, Chinese President Xi Jin Ping and Russian President Vladimir Putin discussed the expansion of this group into "BRICS Plus". Beijing seems particularly interested in developing the partnership as a counterweight to the G7, with Argentina, Iran and Saudi Arabia already applying for BRICS membership. After the western sanctions, Russia started aligning with Beijing's strategic interests. In the face of intensifying competition with America, China will have a great incentive to keep Russia by its side; Even if this requires further deepening the separation of this country from the West.

Liberal System or Rules-Based Order

References to "liberal world order" are less common in international relations articles, and the term "rules-based order" is used more often. As the narrative of the decline of democracy repeats itself around the world, a "rules-based" international order seems to become more realistic. Although it has been emphasized that liberal economic policies accelerate economic development worldwide and that greater economic prosperity in turn creates an irrepressible demand for political freedom, the Chinese model shows a different view that increased economic prosperity can be accompanied by a strengthening of central control. (Bakhshi, 2023).

Now we are witnessing a different international system compared to before the war. The new system is based on multilateralism and neutrality and relies on regionalism in which regional powers will cooperate and compete with each other at the international level. In the past, the powers were in complete competition with each other and sought to destroy each other; But now the international system is not bipolar, in addition, there is no power to destroy the other side, and the economy, politics and security have progressed significantly in the field of digitalization and globalization and are advancing the world in such a way that no side can seek to eliminate the other side, because this action will actually mean removing itself.

What Effect Does This War Have Russia's Position?

According to America's rivals, the reason for the war in Ukraine can be justified by Russia's attempt to at least maintain its position at the international level, but NATO, which had started expanding eastwards by taking advantage of Russia's weakness in the early years after the collapse of the Soviet Union, made this country has faced vital threats. Russia's response to prevent the continuation of this situation was a massive attack on Ukraine to make it impossible for this country to become a member of NATO for decades to come. On the other hand, the western side is trying to force Russia to retreat due to exhaustion. Of course, victory or defeat in war is generally a relative matter. If Russia is not able to end this war as the victorious side, there is a high possibility that this country will be reduced from the level of a great power to a medium power. An event that limits Russia's sphere of influence and influence to a large extent to Central Asia and Eastern Europe. An incident that happened to powers like England in the past centuries. In such a situation, although Russia still has many power tools as a permanent member of the Security Council and the owner of the largest amount of nuclear weapons in the world, but with the reduction of political prestige, it will not be possible to use its power tools sufficiently.

Ukraine's War in China Position

Russia's defeat also affects the power of China and other countries that are in conflict with American hegemony. As the joint statement of China and Russia shows a few days before the start of the war in Ukraine, these two countries seek more than anything to reduce the power of American intervention and unilateralism. The victory of the United Front of the West will make it more difficult for China to reach its goals in Taiwan, and will delay the possibility of China reaching Taiwan for many years. Also, the trade war with China has intensified and the American effort to isolate China politically will be accompanied by more satisfaction from other countries. In fact, the defeated Russia in Ukraine, with the resurgence of American hegemony, which has been able to be the winning side of the biggest crisis in Europe after the Cold War, also increases China's vulnerability.

Although Russia's defeat could make it dependent on China, China is not keen on such an event despite the significant damage caused by the rise of US power. Even if more dependence on Russia

leads to China's easier access to energy as China's major economic weakness. In fact, a more favorable situation for China is the victory of Russia and the establishment of a multi-centered or multi-polar system with the participation of Russia as a pole of power. For the United States, the main challenge remains the rise of China. Russia's possible victory in the war will allow China to continue its economic influence around the world; while the United States is weakened and cannot cause much trouble.

Why the West Support from Ukraine?

On the American side, victory and defeat in this war are decisive. The security document published by the US government in 2022 speaks of a historical turning point in the world. According to experts, this war can be an opportunity to restore American hegemony. As "Foreign Affairs" wrote in this regard: "A historic opportunity has been provided for the United States and its allies to rebuild the international order that recently seemed to be collapsing. This explains why the United States and European countries are trying to help Ukraine against Russia by providing weapons and financial needs to Ukraine. However, the West cautiously pursues aid to Ukraine in order not to provoke a strong Russian reaction, and as the war wears down, the possibility of Russia's exhaustion increases. On the other hand, the defeat in the battle of Ukraine has an effect on the relations between Europe and America. It is possible that Europe, frightened by Russia's victory, will increase its dependence on America. Considering the need for the United States to focus on East Asia, this issue has increased the financial and political obligations of this country in Europe and may accelerate the decline of American power. It is also possible that Europe, defeated in Ukraine, will take the path of reconciliation with Russia. As a result of its weakness against Russia, it will not allow Europe to accompany the US in its confrontation with China.

As a result of this scenario, the distribution of power will change in favor of China and to the detriment of America. The war in Ukraine questions the norms of the liberal order regarding the effect of the war in Ukraine on the dominant norms of the world, it should be noted that this war will have a negative effect on two aspects of these norms. The first aspect is the failure of the idea of collective security. The indirect war between NATO and Russia, which has reached the point of sending weapons and heavy equipment, is a benchmark for NATO's military support. Failure in

this field will also mean failure and discredit of NATO. This issue means the growth of militarism and the increase of government military budgets. Governments such as Germany, Japan and South Korea, disappointed by the American security umbrella, may take a different approach than in the years following World War II. With the growth of rights around the world and the spread of the idea of "self-help" against collective security, the foundations of the liberal order are under threat. In the pessimistic case, the reduction of American hegemonic control is possible; As "Henry Hill", professor of international affairs at George Washington University, said, (this issue) will lead to the expansion of countries possessing nuclear weapons.

The second aspect is related to the world economic system. With the start of the war in Ukraine, the foundations of the idea of expanding peace with increasing economic interdependence have been hit. This was a reminder of the impact of the crisis of 1929 on liberal economic systems, which led to the rise of right-wing and fascism in Europe and ultimately the Second World War. Statistics show that the Russian economy will not face serious problems in the coming years, and in the field, the American sanctions cannot force this country to retreat. On the other hand, many governments of the world, especially in the global south, which mainly includes countries that were previously affected by the power of the United States, refused to go along with the sanctions of the West. Non-alignment with the West also includes governments that were previously known as US allies. In fact, only 50 countries have joined sanctions against Russia. The failure of the economic and security ideas of the liberal order means the failure of the rules that America has tried to rule in the world. For this reason, "Fukuyama", a theorist who believed that with the collapse of the Soviet Union, liberalism provided the end of the ideological evolution of mankind, now speaks of Putin's blow to the liberal order.

Here the question arises whether Russia seeks to create a new international order? The answer to this question should be yes, because with this action in Ukraine, both Europe's energy security has been jeopardized due to its dependence on Russia, and the food security of the West, which is dependent on the Russians, has been called into question. Therefore, we will witness a new order in the order of the international system. It is worth noting that the timing of the Kremlin's actions towards Kiev is more due to the political

inclinations and Vladimir Putin's own perceptions of the developments and reactions in Ukraine, Europe and the United States than to the events on the ground in the disputed region and Donbass. Returning Kiev to the leadership of Russia is Putin's unfinished business, an action that he feels obliged to complete after 22 years of presidency in order to finalize his last actions and decisions in order to create a new order in the international system and show his power and Russia's authority to finish. In addition to putting pressure on the former Soviet countries in their behavior towards Russia, these measures have also had an impact on European countries and America. In the current situation, Moscow is looking to revise the order of the international system.

Therefore, Russia considers Ukraine, which has played an important role in the security order of the world for a long time, to be Russia's and by dominating it; it intends to turn Russia into an empire. Therefore, it can be considered that, contrary to the opinion of many analysts who compare the war in Ukraine with the presence of Russia and its failure in Afghanistan and consider it the same, with all the images, after Russia's defeat in Afghanistan and the collapse of the Soviet Union, Afghanistan could no longer restore itself and be present in the international system as before the war with the Soviet Union. But in the war with Ukraine, Putin is trying to achieve his goal by taking into account all dimensions, costs and sanctions. This attempt is not only to dominate Ukraine, but it will also show Russia's new presence and power towards the European Union, the United States, and NATO. While if Europe and NATO can deal with Putin, it can be said that Europe is ready to accept facing the inevitable challenges ahead from the position of unity and American leadership, and if Putin succeeds in getting out of this battle, it will be due to the efforts and wishes of Russia. , that is, the international system has become a multi-polar world, which will create a deep transformation in the order of the international system, and with a country like China standing next to it, which is currently playing a neutral role but defending Russia, from the power America will decline and its image as a superpower will be tarnished (Muhammadi, 1401).

CONCLUSION

It seems that after the end of one year since the start of the military conflict between Russia and Ukraine and based on the reasons mentioned by

the Kremlin at the beginning of the special military operation and according to the developments in the field; Russia should be considered the winner of this war. So far, Russia has occupied more than 20% of the territory of Ukraine, which before the war was home to 8 million people, mostly of Russian descent. In such a way that the four provinces of Luhansk, Donetsk, Kherson and Zaporizhia will act as a buffer zone between NATO and the main lands of Russia. Also, in the Kremlin, the capture of these regions is considered as a necessary condition for the realization of the idea of neo-Eurasians and a return to the era of the Great Russian Empire. The annexation of these regions is very important and vital for Russia in terms of geopolitics and ideology. On the other hand, from an economic point of view, each of the four annexed provinces brings special advantages and privileges to the Russians. As the largest agricultural region of Ukraine, Kherson is considered an important region with fertile agricultural land and high talent in fruit and vegetable production.

Also, Luhansk and Donetsk, with their industrial features and mineral advantages, have the ability to compensate for some of Russia's losses in this war. Most importantly, contrary to the predictions of many western politicians, the Russian society did not suffer from growing inflammations and dissatisfaction with economic pressures, but based on the published statistics, it showed a very high solidarity in support of Vladimir Putin and the country's special military operations in Ukraine. But on the other side, a ruin called Ukraine, which has become the land of conflict between the West and Russia, has completely collapsed economically, a very large population has emigrated from this country, and a huge debt has been left to Western countries. As the West provides more long-range weapons to Ukraine, the Russians will have to expand the buffer zone and occupy more territories of Ukraine to maintain their security. In the current situation, with the connection and entanglement of interests in the new international system and the creation of the highest competition between China and the United States, some analyzes that the United States is trying to bring Iran and China into the battlefield of Russia against Ukraine or to create a world war are said to be definitely. Some parties have an interest in creating a war, including a world war, but the facts show that there is no reason to create a world war, for the important reason that the interests of the powers are connected with each

other. China has now invested 2,000 billion dollars in the United States, and the United States will have 400 billion dollars in trade relations with China in 2022 alone. Today's world cannot ignore China. America has invested about 3200 billion dollars in China, so proxy, limited and phased encounters may occur between them, but it does not seem that we will witness large-scale conflicts; because their interests are global.

The war in Ukraine is the biggest armed conflict that started in Europe after World War II. As a result, its impact on the international system is the subject of many research circles. The coming years, which according to international relations experts, are a period of transition in the international order, can cause important changes in the international scene. In these years, any event that can change three things: "the power level of the current superpower, namely America, the power level of America's threatening competitors, namely China or Russia, and the established norms in the current world", can have an effect on the international order. If the Russians lose the war, it will mean a new life for liberal democracy, and if Russia wins, the world will go to the precipice of totalitarianism. A Russian victory destroys all the norms that prevent the occupation of a country and opens the way for others to take over a part of a country's territory whenever they find themselves in a favorable situation. In both cases, one thing is certain that the US-centered and unipolar order has reached its end.

REFERENCES

1. Bakhshi, R. "The future of the international system after the war in Ukraine." <https://rahbordemoaser.ir/fa/news> (2023).
2. Beheshtipour, H. "A look at the developments of the international system; One year after the Ukrainian war." <https://www.scfr.ir/fa/> (1401).
3. Feltman, J. "War, Peace and the international system after Ukraine." <https://www.brookings.edu/articles> (2023).
4. Emadi, S. "Ukraine crisis and the future of the international system." <http://irdiplomacy.ir/fa/new> (1400).
5. Kortunov, A. "Three possible scenarios at the end of war in Ukraine." <https://tejaratnews.com> (1401).
6. Mirkoshish, A. "Ukraine war and the future of international order." <https://www.iirjournal.ir> (1401).
7. Miriosfi, A. "America, Russia and Ukraine crisis: turning point or tipping point."

-
- <https://www.ipis.ir/portal/newsview/687305> (1401).
8. Mohammadi, M. "Does the war in Ukraine change the international system?" <https://www.tasnimnews.com> (1401).
9. Namdar Vendai, S. "What will happen in the future of the war in Ukraine? Examining possible scenarios." <https://www.mehrnews.com/news>. (1401).
10. Royuran, H. "Possible scenarios of the Ukrainian war." <https://farhangeeslami.com>. (2022).

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:

Sajed, A.G. and Rahmani, S. "The Instruction of International System after Ukraine War." *Sarcouncil journal of Arts humanities and social sciences* 2.11 (2023): pp 1-8.