Sarcouncil Journal of Public Administration and Management

ISSN(Online): 2945-3712

Volume- 05| Issue- 02| 2026

Research Article

Received: 20-02-2026 | Accepted: 15-03-2026 | Published: 31-03-2026

An Evaluation of the Impact of AI-Enhanced Project Management Tools on U.S. Workforce Productivity, Satisfaction, and Skills Development

Bismark Afriyie Owusu

Northeastern University Boston, M A

Abstract: The high rate at which artificial intelligence has been incorporated in project management tools has revolutionized the planning, monitoring and execution of projects in key sectors of the United States economy. Whereas the previous research has focused on technical efficiency and project level results, there is largely little focus on the implications of the workforce. This narrative review is a synthesis of current literature to evaluate the impact of AI-based improved project management tools on the productivity of the workforce, employee satisfaction, and skills development in the U.S. setting. Based on the studies in project management, information systems, and algorithmic management, the review conceptualizes AI-enhanced project management as an algorithmic coordination that redefines the work organization and decision-making processes. The results show that AI-based predictive analytics, automation, and generative interfaces have a potential to enhance productivity via decreasing administrative workload, increasing coordination, and promoting proactive decision-making. Such gains, however, depend on organizational governance, transparency, and workforce preparedness. There is also mixed impact seen on employee satisfaction with the positive effects being related to less role ambiguity, less cognitive support, and negative effects related to increased surveillance, decreased autonomy, and increased algorithmic obscurity. Also, the implementation of AI changes the skills needs as it becomes more routine and less skillful, as well as more analytical and interpretative and relational, which may create polarization of skills in case of uneven reskilling.

Keywords: Project management, Artificial Intelligence, Workforce Productivity, Skills Development, Work Satisfaction.

INTRODUCTION

Project management has emerged as a prevailing organizational concept in the large sectors of the United States economy, in information technology, construction, engineering, public infrastructure, and more. Given the growing use of temporary, cross-functional teams within organizations to achieve complex output in the conditions of uncertainty, project management tools become central to the organization of labor, resource distribution, and performance tracking. Over the last few years, however, these tools have experienced a dramatic transformation by integrating artificial intelligence (AI) so that they move away on stagnant planning and reporting tools to adaptive, predictive, and increasingly autonomous decision-support environments (Kanabar, 2023; Tekade *et al*., 2025).

Project management tools which use AI have become common practice by including predictive analytics, intelligent scheduling, automated risk evaluation, and natural language interfaces that can synthesize project information in real-time (D'Mello & Ajibade, 2025). Its advocates believe that these capabilities allow previously unknown increases in efficiency, accuracy, and project performance, especially in the environment that is complex and requires a lot of data (Shamim, 2024; Kozhakhmetova *et al*, 2024). As a result, there has been an increasing academic and practitioner-oriented literature analyzing the potential of AI to enhance the project outcomes.

Nonetheless, the main part of this literature is limited to technical efficiency and project-level performance measures, and the implications of workforce issues are often regarded as secondary or incidental. Although productivity gains have often been emphasized, little has been done to how AI-powered project management tools redefine employee satisfaction, freedom, motivation, and long-term skill growth-aspects that are imperative to long-term organizational output in the American labor market. Recent evidence from sustainability-oriented project management studies suggests that digitally supported project systems also shape employee engagement, organizational resilience, and long-term capability development in U.S. firms (Adukpo *et al*, 2025). Current reviews are either tool-based (Alevizos *et al*., 2023), sector-focused (Savas, 2025), or mostly theoretical without applying any empirical evidence on the workforce (Ibrahim *et al*.; Gawande).

Simultaneously, research strands of algorithmic management and digital control have reported the possibility of AI-based systems to radically transform the work structure, intensify labor, and restructure power dynamics between employees and companies (Wood, 2021; Benlian *et al*., 2022; Lippert, 2025). However, such insights are seldom

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

*Corresponding Author: Bismark Afriyie Owusu

DOI-https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19352486

directly linked to project management situations albeit where projects represent a major venue in operationalizing algorithmic coordination. Due to the high rate of AI-based project management tools diffusion and the rising issues regarding the implications of AI on the workforce, an integrative evaluation of the impacts of these technologies on workers should be conducted. It this context, the current research provides a purely narrative review that assesses the effect of AI-enhanced project management tools on workforce productivity, employee satisfaction, and skills development with reference to the implication to the U.S. workforce. Instead of listing the separate tools or overviews of research studies in single units, this review brings together the results of the project management, information systems, and labor research to create an integrative knowledge of how AI-mediated project coordination influences workers. This review seeks to contribute to the theory, practice, and key future research directions by foregrounding the results of the workforce and improving the results of performance.

METHODOLOGY

The current research uses a narrative literature review approach to investigate how AI-enhanced project management tools influence the productivity of the workforce, employee satisfaction, and skills development, and the way it has implications on the United States workforce. Reviews of literature based on narratives are justified in new inter-disciplinary issues where the research evidence lies in varying academic spheres, and needs conceptual integration to statistical aggregation. In contrast to systematic reviews where the methodology depends on rigorous guidelines and quantitative synthesis, narrative reviews focus on interpretation and incorporation of theoretical and practical implications of the various streams of research. This will enable the study to integrate the knowledge acquired in project management literature, information systems literature, and literature on algorithmic management and transformation in the workplace.

The reviewed literature in the current study has been based on peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, academic books, and conceptual studies in the field of artificial intelligence and project management technologies, as well as in the field of workforce dynamics. Relevant sources were located with the assistance of popular academic repositories such as Google Scholar, ResearchGate, and databases of academic publishers, in which research on project management, information systems, and organizational studies are hosted. The review is concerned mostly with the studies conducted since 2020 because, it is during the last three years that AI-enabled project management tools and generative AI technologies in the organizational setting are actively developed and adopted.

The search was limited to the combinations of the keywords associated with artificial intelligence and workforce results in project-based settings. They were keywords regarding AI in project management, algorithmic management, workforce productivity, employee satisfaction, skills development, and generative AI in the project coordination systems. Such search strategy allowed identifying the research that analyzed not only technological possibilities of AI systems but also the general organizational and labor consequences. The literature analysis covers the studies about AI-enabled project planning, predictive analytics, automated scheduling, decision-support systems, and generative AI interfaces applied in project management settings (Kanabar, 2023; Hossain *et al*., 2024; Tekade *et al*., 2025). Moreover, the articles on algorithmic management and surveillance in the workplace were included to get familiar with the impact of AI-technologies on the organizational power structure and employee autonomy and motivation (Benlian *et al*., 2022; Wood, 2021; Lippert, 2025).

The thematic narrative synthesis was used to analyze the selected literature, which is based on the identification of common patterns and conceptual relations in research. There were three major dimensions that the analysis was designed with in accordance with the study objectives: the productivity of workforce, work and employee satisfaction and experience, and skills development and transformation of the workforce. Under each theme, the literature was analyzed to determine how AI-enhanced project management tools impact the workforce outcomes. These technologies are automation of traditional coordination operations, predictive analytics in planning and forecasting, performance monitoring algorithms, and generative AI interfaces (which assist communication and decision-making) (Rayhan, 2024; Kozhakhmetova *et al*., 2024; Shamim, 2024). According to the previous research, these technologies transform not only the technical performance of the work on the projects themselves, but also the social life of the project

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

teams based on the redistribution of the decision-making making power between the human managers and the algorithm.

Even though findings of the literature reviewed have research in various global contexts, the specific focus is on implications of the workforce in the United States where a project-based structure of work is heavily applied across the information technology, building, engineering, and government infrastructure sectors. These sectors are particularly applicable to the analysis of the workforce effects of AI-based project management tools as they involve extremely complicated coordination procedures, disposable project groups, and activities centered on expertise (Ahmed *et al*., 2024; Somanathan, 2023; Savas, 2025).

Since it is a narrative review, the present study does not utilize any quantitative meta-analysis or standardized systematic review. Rather, the results come out of interpretive synthesis of literature available. The conclusions, therefore, are patterns and conceptual relationships observed in previous studies and not a generalization based on statistics. Notwithstanding these constraints, the narrative review method offers the global view as it combines the contributions of various research traditions and points out the overall workforce implication of AI-enhanced project management technologies.

Traditional Project Management Software to AI-enhanced Software.

The historic project management software is to be used to assist in planning, scheduling, budgeting, and documentation based on rule-based features and user inputs. The systems were mostly based on pre-defined workflow and human judgment in interpreting project data. Conversely, AI-enhanced project management tools incorporate machine learning algorithms and predictive models and automated decision-support mechanisms that proactively influence the way projects are planned, monitored, and revised as time goes on (Tekade *et al*, 2025; Kanabar, 2023).

The use of artificial intelligence methods to support or partially automate project management processes (e.g., scheduling, resource allocation, risk evaluation, performance monitoring, etc.) can be broadly defined as AI-enhanced project management (Ajibade, 2025; D'Mello, 2025). Such systems do not only archive or visualize data, but they make suggestions, predict variances, and play an even greater role in coming up with recommendations and suggestions in the managerial decision-making processes.

Operational Potentials and Influence of decision making.

According to the literature, there are a few fundamental functional areas where AI-enhanced project management tools are different as opposed to traditional tools. The first, predictive analytics, will allow for seeing cost overruns, schedule delays, and resource bottlenecks based on past and real-time data (Ogunbukola, 2024; Hossain *et al*, 2024). Second, smart automation lowers the amount of manual work in reporting, monitoring, and documentation, enabling the project professionals to undertake higher-order coordination efforts (Shamim, 2024).

Third, the latest developments in generative AI have also come up with conversational interfaces and automated insights that can convert complex project data into digestible narratives by managers and team members (Kanabar, 2023; Rayhan, 2024). Although such features are beneficial in terms of efficiency, they also change the locus of judgment on human judgment to algorithmically generated suggestions.

Notably, the literature indicates that AI-enhanced project management tools become more of decision influence as opposed to decision support and to partial decision automation in a few instances. As an illustration, automated task priorities, manpower and performance notifications can implicitly limit the discretion of managers and mold employee behavior without direct human intervention (Abaneme *et al*., 2025; El Khatib *et al*, 2025).

AI-Improved Project Management as Algorithmic Co-ordination.

The socio-technical perspective of AI-enhanced project management is the only way to analyze the implications of this system in the workforce. Several researchers have observed that such tools can serve as types of algorithmic coordination and entangle organizational priorities and performance standards in digital infrastructures (Benlian *et al*, 2022; Wood, 2021). Research on performance management systems further demonstrates that technology-enabled monitoring frameworks influence employee motivation, accountability structures, and perceived fairness in contemporary organizations (Bayah *et al*., 2025). The effects of algorithmic coordination on the organization of

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

work are exceptionally conspicuous in project settings, where there is a condensed schedule, a diffuse sense of accountability, and a high level of performance exposure.

Also, through constant control of progress, the comparison between real performance and the algorithmic one, and the deployment of automated intervention, AI-enhanced project management tools can increase the intensity of performance pressures, at the same time reducing the chances of discretionary judgments. It is this duality that leaves them at the crossroads of productivity improvement and algorithmic control and casts significant doubts on the issue of autonomy, satisfaction, or skill growth within the project workforce (Gagne *et al*, 2022; Liu, 2024).

The acknowledgment of AI-enhanced project management tools as an infrastructure of algorithmic management offers the required background of analysis of their effects on the productivity of the workforce, satisfaction by employees, and skills development. The subsequent paragraphs summarize the empirical and theoretical results on these outcomes, starting with productivity impacts.

THE PRODUCTIVITY OF THE WORKFORCE ON AI-ENHANCED PROJECT MANAGEMENT TOOLS.

The most common reason given to the use of AI-enhanced project management tools is the improvement of productivity. In the cross-industry, the expectations of quicker delivery durations, lower expenses, and enhanced coordination constitute top factors that drive the adoption of AI into the project management practice (Kanabar, 2023; Shamim, 2024). Studies on agile and digitally enabled project environments indicate that adaptive management systems significantly enhance coordination efficiency and team-level performance outcomes (Ajirotutu *et al*, 2024; Savas, 2025). Nevertheless, a more critical review of the literature indicates that the productivity benefits related to the AI-enhanced project management are not homogeneous and are not strictly technical. On the contrary, they are produced as part of certain organizational processes that interface with the ability of the workforce, complexity of projects, and governance systems.

Processes that Cause the Connection between AI-Enhanced Project Management and Productivity.

The ability to automate routine coordination processes and augment managerial decision-making is the main factor behind the productivity effects of AI-enhanced project management tools. Predictive analytics allow schedule delays, cost overruns, and resource bottlenecks to be detected beforehand, which means that project teams will intervene before they occur instead of responding to them (D'Mello, 2025; Ogunbukola, 2024). Research in construction, IT, and government-sector projects continue to record a decrease in rework, better schedule compliance and use of resources after the implementation of AI-enhanced planning and monitoring systems (Hameed *et al*., 2024; Ahmed *et al*., 2024).

Reporting and monitoring automation also helps in enhancing productivity through minimization of administration. AI-based dashboards and automated status updates will reduce the amount of time spent on the compilation of data manually, allowing project managers and their subordinates to spend more time on problem-solving and interaction with stakeholders (Shamim, 2024; El Khatib *et al*., 2025). The AI-enhanced tools have been found to positively influence the information flow and coordination efficiency in the environment of agile and virtual teams, especially when the project complexity and interdependence are elevated (Somanathan, 2023).

Another productivity mechanism, generated by the capabilities of AI generators, includes reducing cognitive and informational barriers. Empirical studies on AI-supported decision systems show that intelligent interfaces and automated analytics significantly reduce cognitive load and enhance operational efficiency in complex work environments (Ogunbukola, 2024; Hossain *et al*., 2024).

The interface of natural languages that summarize project data, offer risk narratives, or prescribe corrective measures can also enable workers to obtain information without having analytical skills at a high level (Kanabar, 2023; Rayhan, 2024). Although these features can help in quickening the decision-making process, they also change the manner in which knowledge is generated and shared in project teams.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Supposed Evidence of Productivity Results.
Empirical research has also documented positive relationships between AI-enhanced project management and productivity outcomes such as saving of time, better cost management, and an increase in project success rates (Kozhakhmetova *et al*., 2024; Hossain *et al*, 2024). In complex projects, AI-based forecasting and risk-assessment software is attributed to higher accuracy of decisions made and less uncertainty and positively affects the overall project performance (Ogunbukola, 2024; Abaneme *et al*, 2025).

These findings are supported by sector-specific evidence. AI-based scheduling and lean optimization methods have been linked to quantifiable efficiency benefits and waste elimination in construction project management (Ajirotutu *et al*, 2024; Savas, 2025). Similarly, projects associated with state and infrastructural projects show enhanced reliability in delivery and better financial results after adoption of AI (Ahmed *et al*., 2024). Intelligence-based agile tools are used in IT and knowledge-intensive projects to accelerate the iteration process and enhance teamwork, especially in the context of a distributed team (Somanathan, 2023).

Although these advantages have been reported, the literature has significant weaknesses. The outcomes in terms of productivity are often determined by self-reported perceptions or project-based indicators but not by the disaggregated role of AI-enhanced tools. There is little longitudinal data, and not many studies take into consideration learning curves or the organizational adaption cost or resistance in the labor when implementing it in the early stages (Alevizos *et al*, 2023; Ibrahim *et al*).

Gains and Intensification of Work Productivity.
Although AI-enhanced project management tools could make projects more efficient, some researchers warn that the productivity benefits might go hand in hand with workload increase and the emergence of performance-related pressures. Constant tracking, real-time benchmarking of performance, and automatic notifications enhance the visibility of both individual and team performance potentially boosting the work rate and shortening the time to recuperate between work tasks (Wood, 2021; Lippert, 2025).

Regarding the algorithmic management approach, AI-powered project management systems will integrate performance expectations into digital infrastructures, which will influence worker behavior via automated nudges, instead of managerial instructions (Benlian *et al*., 2022). Such systems can increase stress and reduce room to exercise discretionary judgment in project settings where tight deadlines and high accountability lead to increased productivity in the aggregate.

This research indicates that productivity gains can be the most sustainable when AI-enriched tools are introduced as support, as opposed to the prescriptive control systems. Companies integrating AI use with workforce education, participatory design, and open governance document increased productivity stability and reduced resistance (Alevizos *et al*., 2023; Rayhan, 2024). On the other hand, when the AI systems are seen as the means of surveillance or strict control, the efficiency gains in the short run are likely to be compensated for by engagement and turnover.

THE SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES AND THE TIME OF WORK IN AI-ENHANCED PROJECT ENVIRONMENTS.

Although productivity performance is a dominant feature of the AI-enhanced project management as discovered above, employee satisfaction and work experience are now considered very important, but a controversial feature of AI adoption. In contrast to productivity, which tends to be considered at the level of the project, satisfaction represents subjective assessment of the workers concerning the autonomy, fairness, workload, and meaning of the work. It has been implied in the research that AI-enhanced project management tools may improve and destroy employee satisfaction, depending on the way they are designed, implemented, and governed.

Sources of High Work Satisfaction.
Some of the studies indicate that AI-enhanced project management tools have a positive impact on the satisfaction of employees by lessening the administrative load and increasing the clarity of roles. The routine tasks that can be automated, including reporting, scheduling updates, and tracking of progress, give project professionals the opportunity to concentrate on the activities that add more value, such as problem-solving, coordination, and stakeholder engagement (Shamim, 2024; D'Mello, 2025). Studies on AI-enabled human resource systems indicate that intelligent recruitment and performance analytics

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

tools reshape employee perceptions of career development, organizational trust, and procedural fairness (Sabie, 2024). In this regard, AI can serve as a cognitive support system, which reduces the burden on time and decree.

Another pathway of satisfaction is enhanced communication and transparency of information. The ambiguity in the project status, roles, and priorities can be minimized by using AI-powered dashboards and real-time analytics, thus minimizing conflict and uncertainty in project teams (El Khatib *et al*, 2025). Projects in the private and public sectors have shown empirical evidence that people tend to trust project governance systems more when they see that AI tools enhance the elements of fairness and consistency in decision-making (Ahmed *et al*, 2024; Kozhakhmetova *et al*, 2024).

The democratization of project intelligence, which is a result of generative AI interfaces, also adds to satisfaction. Employees who lack superior analytical skills can receive insights, predictions, and suggestions because of natural language interactions, which elevates a sense of ability and belonging (Kanabar, 2023; Rayhan, 2024). The findings agree with the research that indicated that technology-based autonomy and competence are the two important motivators of intrinsic motivation in knowledge work.

The second type will be sources of dissatisfaction and resistance. Although these advantages may exist, a considerable amount of research points to adverse and conflicting outcomes of AI-assisted project management on the employee level of satisfaction. One of the major issues is the lack of transparency in algorithmic decision-making. The workers may lose trust, become frustrated, and disengaged when they are not aware of the mechanism of AI systems to provide recommendations, prioritize tasks, or identify performance problems (Alevizos *et al*, 2023; Liu, 2024).

These outcomes may be interpreted through algorithmic management research. The AI-driven project management tools are becoming increasingly capable of real-time monitoring of the work, comparing it to algorithmic benchmarks, and prompting automated alerts or interventions. Although these features could help to optimize efficiency, it can affect perceived autonomy and increase surveillance anxiety (Wood, 2021; Lippert, 2025). The all-whenever-observed environment may contribute to stress and psychological insecurity in project settings where performance is assessed by deadlines, especially when the deadline is fixed.

Empirical research based on self-determination theory indicates that satisfaction should decrease when AI systems are viewed as controlling and not supportive (Gagne *et al*, 2022). The employees subjected to AI-assisted task allocation or evaluation of performance as imposed upon them note lower motivation and reduced organizational commitment, despite the objective performance being enhanced. The specified dynamics are especially relevant to project-based situations, whereby the perceived control gains prominence due to the temporary group structures and the presence of result-oriented accountability.

Directing Factors: Governance, Transparency and Participation.

Differences in the results of satisfaction found in the literature are evidence of the significance of moderating factors. The practices of organizational governance are important in determining how project management tools enhanced with AI are felt by the workers. It has been demonstrated that transparent communication regarding the intention, constraints, and logic of decision-making of AI systems can reduce resistance and contribute to acceptance (Alevizos *et al*, 2023; Rayhan, 2024).

The further moderating satisfaction outcomes include training and AI literacy. When employees are familiar with the way AI tools work and how human judgment works with the development of the algorithmic result, they will consider AI to be an addition to the human judgment more than a threat (Sabie, 2024). Other more participatory ways of implementation, where workers are engaged in the configuration and refinement of AI-enhanced systems also add to the increased levels of satisfaction, by supporting a sense of agency and ownership.

The issue of cultural and institutional context is important. Most AI-enhanced project management tools that promote flexibility and explainability would be more popular than those that demand strict and unclear regulations in the U.S. workforce where autonomy and individual responsibility are highly appreciated. In contrast, in cases where AI is implemented based on the main incentive of cost reduction/surveillance, the result of satisfaction will always be harmful irrespective of the

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

productivity upgrades (Benlian *et al*, 2022; Konjen, 2025).

SKILLS AND WORKFORCE IN AI-ENHANCED PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Along with productivity and satisfaction, AI-improved project management tools have a more significant impact on the skills and professional roles of the workforce. Although a significant part of the practitioner discourse presents the adoption of AI as the opportunity of upskilling, the academic literature indicates a more intricate change that portrays the reconfiguration of skills, redistribution of expertise, and even polarization in project teams. Sectoral analyses indicate that the workforce impacts of AI adoption vary considerably across industries, reflecting differences in task complexity, institutional structures, and digital readiness (Valeriya *et al*, 2024). These changes have serious implications in the environment of the U.S. labor force, where the team members and project managers are required to employ judgment, flexibility, and cross-functioning.

Perspective Changes in the Skills Requirement in AI-Enhanced Project Environments.

The use of AI-enhanced project management tools can shift the skill mix of the project work by executing low-level analytical and administrative functions and raising the value of higher-order cognitive and relational skills. Manual scheduling, fixed progress reporting, and risk assessment based on rules and policies are progressively being left to AI systems and less procedural knowledge usually linked to project management positions is demanded (Ajibade, 2025; D'Mello, 2025).

Simultaneously, the literature points to the increased need to acquire skills in the interpretation, validation, and contextualization of AI-generated outputs. Predictive models are supposed to be evaluated with regards to the assumptions they imply; algorithmic advice is to be reconciled with situational knowledge, and AI-driven insights are supposed to be communicated to various stakeholders (Rayhan, 2024; Kanabar, 2023). This change also indicates a general shift in the execution-focused based competencies to hybrid analytical-managerial based competencies.

According to empirical research conducted in the construction, IT, and government-sector project, AI-enhanced tools do increase the significance of soft skills, such as communication, negotiation, and ethical judgment (El Khatib *et al*, 2025; Sabie, 2024). The problem of AI systems becoming more responsible in data processing and optimization would make human workers more a part of the project environment, as integrators, mediators, and sense-makers.

Reskilling, Upskilling, and Lopsided Capability Development.

Although the AI-enhanced project management tools present an opportunity to learn new skills, it is always noted in the literature that the opportunities available are not equally distributed. Employees who had previous analytical knowledge, managerial experience or had training resources available to them are in a better place to enjoy AI augmentation, but those in more routine or junior positions may face skill degradation or stagnation (Huzooree & Subramanian, 2025; Rayhan, 2024). Research on workforce adaptation highlights that unequal access to reskilling opportunities intensifies occupational polarization and reinforces structural inequalities in AI-mediated work systems (Valeriya *et al*, 2024).

Several researchers mention that organizations often have a low estimation of the amount of reskilling related to the adoption of AI-enhanced projects management. The training programs are usually based on the use of tools, not on the further knowledge of AI logic, data quality challenges, and ethics (Alevizos *et al*, 2023; Sabie, 2024). Consequently, employees can become addicted to the outputs of algorithms without the development of critical skills to oppose or refine them. The polarization of skills in project teams is a matter of concern brought about by this dynamic. The latter may result in senior project managers and specialists gaining skills faster, and those in the early career have fewer chances to acquire additional base competencies based on planning and monitoring activities that were formerly performed through hands-on experience. In the long term, such polarization can limit the career opportunities and reduce the sustainability of the U.S. project management workforce (Lippert, 2025; Wood, 2021).

Learning Mechanisms Regarding AI-Enhanced Project Management Tools.

Certain researchers claim that AI-enhanced project management systems can also be used as learning systems provided; they are designed for this purpose. Experience learning and lifelong skills development can be supported by adaptive feedback systems, performance analytics, and

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

simulation of scenarios built into system platforms (Rayhan, 2024; Abaneme *et al*, 2025). When this happens, AI systems never entirely substitute human judgment, but they support learning through exposure to patterns, trade-offs, and the consequences of a decision.

The success of these learning mechanisms is, however, very much dependent on organizational context. In the absence of clearly stated learning objectives, mentoring frameworks, and organizational support, the AI-enhanced tools will not lead to skill acquisition due to the rapid completion of tasks (Huzooree & Subramanian, 2025). The research recommends the learning benefits be maximized in the case of AI adoption in the context of greater workforce development strategies, such as formal training, reflective practices, and cross-roles collaboration.

INTEGRATIVE SYNTHESIS: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRODUCTIVITY, SATISFACTION AND SKILLS.

The above sections reveal that the effects of AI-enhanced project management tools on productivity, employee satisfaction and skills development are closely related and cannot be viewed as independent. Synthesizing project management, information systems and algorithmic management literatures, the AI-enhanced project management is understood as a type of algorithmic coordination that at once speeds up the performance, transforms work experience, and restructures the hierarchies of skills.

Workforce Outcomes Interdependencies.

Profits linked to AI enhanced project management tools are conditional to the skills of the workforce and are mediated by employee satisfaction. Although automation and predictive analytics may enhance efficiency within the short-term, the long-term productivity will rely on the skills of the workers to make sense of AI-generated suggestions, contextualize them, and act on them (Kanabar, 2023; Rayhan, 2024). In places where skill training is not matched by technology use, organizations face the risk of establishing bottlenecks of productivity that is based on excessive dependence on algorithmic outputs.

The mediating variable in this relationship turns out to be employee satisfaction. AI-enhanced perceived as augmentative tools (which promote autonomy, competence, and learning) are more likely to maintain productivity improvement through engagement and devotion (Shamim, 2024; El Khatib *et al*, 2025). In turn, in the context of AI systems being more of an algorithmic control mechanism, performance can be driven by dissatisfaction and opposition even in cases of technical efficiency (Gagne *et al*, 2022; Wood, 2021).

AI-Improved Project Management as a Workforce Infrastructure.

The integration of the literature indicates that tools of AI-enhanced project management must be perceived as workforce infrastructures as opposed to individual technologies. They integrate efficiency and risk-taking and acceptable performance assumptions into digital architecture and determine the organization and assessment of work (Benlian *et al*, 2022; Lippert, 2025). Such infrastructures have a time-sensitive and a high influence on the behavior of workers in project-based settings when accountability is outcome-oriented, and time pressures are intense.

This view assists in overcoming the apparent opposite results on productivity and satisfaction. The advantages of efficiency and work intensification are neither contradictory consequence of algorithmic coordination but the effects that coincide. These effects will be either positive or negative workforce experience depending on the way AI-enhanced project management tools are managed, clarified, and assimilated into organizational practices.

U.S. Workforce Implication.

Within the U.S. setting, in which professional identity, autonomy, and career mobility are some of the key attributes of project-based jobs, the workforce effects of AI-enhanced project management are particularly acute. According to the literature, when organizations invest in reskilling, participatory governance, and transparent AI practices, they will have more chances to achieve productivity gains without undermining satisfaction and permanent ability to work in the long run. On the other hand, adoption strategies that are so limited to cost reduction and performance monitoring may threaten to dehumanize the complex project execution capabilities that are needed.

GAP IN RESEARCH AND FUTURE RESEARCH.

Theoretical Gaps

There is still a disjointed state of the literature between disciplinary scopes, and there is little

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

attempt to bring project management research into contact with more general theories of algorithmic management and work organization. Additional studies are needed in future to carry out multi-level theoretical models where the experiences of individuals workers are correlated with the results of projects and the organization structure of governance. In AI-mediated environments, there is a lack of longitudinal theories of skill development and professional identity in particular.

Empirical Gaps

Cross-sectional surveys, conceptual analysis, and short-term case studies prevail in cross-sectional empirical evidence. Longitudinal studies of the changes in productivity, satisfaction, and skills observed across time as organizations adjust to AI-based project management tools are also needed. Also, institutional causes particular to the U.S. like labor laws, professional licensing, and educational opportunities have not been thoroughly studied.

Methodological Directions

The mixed method designs that integrate both quantitative performance data and qualitative information about the experiences of the workers should be used in future research. Further studies would be needed to compare the various AI governance models and automation degrees to gain a better insight into contingent outcomes. Lastly, more emphasis must be stressed on ethical implications, such as the bias of the algorithm and disparity in access to the opportunity to gain skills.

Future Implications of Project Management in the United States.

Combined, this research shows that AI-based project management tools are not only transforming the way projects are implemented but also the training, evaluation, and rewarding of project professionals. Instead of merely updating the current skill sets, AI adoption does not mean what it is to be a competent project manager. To the U.S. labor force, this change highlights the necessity of redefining the work development trajectories, certification requirements and educational programs to mirror AI-mediated work realities.

The inability to handle these skill dynamics will result in the production of an operationally efficient but strategically fragile workforce, who can only take AI-suggested actions without the skills needed to react when algorithmic systems malfunction or the environment changes. In its turn, the skills development turns out to be one of the primary determinants of whether AI-enhanced project management provides sustainable productivity and favorable work experiences or sustains the sense of dependency and inequality among project teams.

CONCLUSION

This narrative review was aimed at assessing how AI-enhanced project management tools influence workforce productivity, employee satisfaction, and skills development, but with a specific attention to the implication on the workforce in the U.S. By putting together evidence on project management, information systems, and labor studies, one can see that AI-enhanced project management is not just a technological upgrade but a socio-technical change that redefines the organization of work, experience of work and its valuation.

Although AI-based project management tools have the potential to achieve significant productivity improvements, all this depends on enabling governance structures, workforce competency matching, and favorable employee experiences. The development of skills and satisfaction do not become secondary concerns but the primary factor that determines whether AI-led efficiency improvements can be maintained in the long run. Restructuring AI-enhanced project management as a type of algorithmic coordination and its extensive workforce implications, the review offers a basis to future theory-building, empirical research, and practical organizational implementation.

REFERENCES

1. Abaneme, O. G., Ezenwaka, C., Popoola, R., Soetan, O., Obajaja, H. A., & Umah, E. "Augmented Project Management: Exploring the Role of AI Tools in Decision-making and Resource Optimisation." *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports* 19.7 (2025): 258-271.
2. Adukpo, T. K., Dateer, D. W., Olise, P. A., & Mensah, N. “Integrating sustainability in project management: A case study analysis of U.S.” *organizations' practices and challenges*. (2025).
3. Abaneme, O. G., Ezenwaka, C., Popoola, R., Soetan, O., Obajaja, H. A., & Umah, E. "Augmented Project Management: Exploring the Role of AI Tools in Decision-making and Resource Optimisation." *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports* 19.7 (2025): 258-271.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

4. Ahmed, M. S., Jannat, S., & Tanim, S. H. "Artificial intelligence in public project management: Boosting economic outcomes through technological innovation." *International journal of applied engineering and technology (London)* 6 (2024): 47-63.
5. Ajirotutu, R. O., Matthew, B., Garba, P., & Johnson, S. O. "Advancing lean construction through Artificial Intelligence: Enhancing efficiency and sustainability in project management." *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences* 13.02 (2024): 496-509.
6. Ajibade, O. M. "AI Enhanced Project Management: Leveraging Predictive Analytics and Intelligent Automation." (2025).
7. Alevizos, V., Georgousis, I., Simasiku, A., Karypidou, S., & Messinis, A. "Evaluating the inclusiveness of artificial intelligence software in enhancing project management efficiency--A Review." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.11159* (2023).
8. Antoniuk, D., Bikulov, D., Karpenko, A., Beisenova, L., & Polusmiak, Y. "GENERATIVE AI IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT: ENHANCING EFFICIENCY AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE." *Financial & Credit Activity: Problems of Theory & Practice* 6.65 (2025).
9. Bainey, K. "AI-driven project management: Harnessing the power of artificial intelligence and ChatGPT to achieve peak productivity and success." *John Wiley & Sons*, (2024).
10. Bayah, D. K., Acquah, W. K., & Oware, E. "A Review of Efforts to Promote and Train Students for the STEM Workforce in The US."
11. Benlian, A., Wiener, M., Cram, W. A., Krasnova, H., Maedche, A., Möhlmann, M., & Remus, U. "Algorithmic Management: A. Benlian et al." *Business & Information Systems Engineering* 64.6 (2022): 825-839.
12. D'Mello, J. "AI-Enhanced Project Estimating, Monitoring, and Forecasting." (2025).
13. El Khatib, M., Alnaqbi, A., Alnaqbi, A., & Alnaqbi, M. "Enhancing Project Management through AI-Enabled Communication: Bridging Gaps in Decision-Making and Stakeholder Engagement." *International Journal of Theory of Organization and Practice (IJTOP)* 5.1 (2025): 109-128.
14. Feshchenko, P. "Algorithmic leadership and algorithmic management: a systematic literature review." (2021).
15. Gagné, M., Parent-Rocheleau, X., Bujold, A., Gaudet, M. C., & Lirio, P. "How algorithmic management influences worker motivation: A self-determination theory perspective." *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie canadienne* 63.2 (2022): 247.
16. Gawande, S. "Advancements in Project Management: Integrating Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Efficiency." *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)* 13.11 (2024): 982-985.
17. Gent, C. "The politics of algorithmic management: class composition and everyday struggle in distribution work." *Diss. University of Warwick*, (2018).
18. Hameed, A., Ahmed, S. S., Rasel, A. B. S., Hamim, M., Sok, M., & Tesfalem, A. "The role of artificial intelligence in revolutionizing construction Project Management: Enhancing efficiency and sustainability." *North American Academic Research (NAAR)* 8 (2025): 56-76.
19. Håkansta, C., Lind, R., Strauss-Raats, P., & Blüme, P. "ALGORITHMIC MANAGEMENT: EXPERIENCES AND RESPONSES." (2024).
20. Hossain, M. Z., Hasan, L., Dewan, M. A., & Monira, N. A. "The impact of artificial intelligence on project management efficiency." *International journal of management information systems and data science* 1.5 (2024): 1-17.
21. Ibrahim, Y., Halliru, I., Idriss, M. I., & Ibrahim, U. A. "Enhancing Project Management Efficiency through Artificial Intelligence: A Comprehensive Review." *International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development* 7 (2024).
22. Jiwane, S. "The impact of artificial intelligence on project management." (2025).
23. Kanabar, V. "The AI revolution in project management: Elevating productivity with generative AI." *Sams Publishing*, (2023).
24. Konjen, H. "Algorithmic Management and the Future of Human Work: Implications for Autonomy, Collaboration, and Innovation." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.14231* (2025).
25. Kozhakhmetova, A., Mamyrbayev, A., Zhidebekkyzy, A., & Bilan, S. "Assessing the impact of artificial intelligence on project efficiency enhancement." *Knowledge and Performance Management* 8.2 (2024): 109-126.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

26. Lippert, I. "Algorithmic Management in Different Work Contexts: Implications for Workers and Managers." (2025).
27. Liu, N. "Algorithmic management and wellbeng at work." (2024).
28. Łukasik-Stachowiak, K. "The use of Artificial Intelligence in project management." *Zeszyty Naukowe. Organizacja i Zarządzanie/Politechnika Śląska* (2025).
29. Ogunbukola, M., & Consulting, M. "The impact of artificial intelligence on project management: Enhancing efficiency, risk mitigation, and decision-making in complex projects." *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security* 24.9 (2024).
30. Ogunsola, O., Adikorley, I. J. N., & Opoku, J. A. "Mitigating Cognitive Load in Supply Chain Decision-Making: An AI-Driven Framework for Enhanced Operational Efficiency."
31. Ongbali, S. O., Ajanaku, O., Salawu, E. Y., & Inegbenebor, A. O. "Exploring the Impact of Generative AI on Enhancing Efficiency in Project Planning and Control." *NIPES JSTR SPECIAL ISSUE* 7.1 (2025): 1529-1542.
32. Parashar, N. "Artificial Intelligence in High Capital Expenditure Construction Project Management: Current Adoption, Impact, Challenges, and Future Trajectories." *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition and Conference*. SPE, 2025.
33. Rayhan, J. "Exploring The Role and Impact of Generative AI in Enhancing Skilled Workforce Efficiency and Modern Workplace Dynamics for Maximum Organizational Output: A Textual Review and Conceptual Assessment." *Globsyn Management Journal* 18 (2024).
34. Reznikov, R. "Enhancing project management success through artificial intelligence." *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation* 6.1 (2025): 1036-1046.
35. Sabie, A. M. Y. "The Role of Artificial Intelligence in HR and Project Management: Transforming Workforce and Project Efficiency." □□ □□ □□□□ □□ □□□□ □ □□ □□□ □□□ □□□ *(NAJSP)* (2024): 358-370.
36. Savaş, S. "Artificial intelligence in construction project management: Trends, challenges and future directions." *Journal of Design for Resilience in Architecture and Planning* 6.2 (2025): 221-238.
37. Shamim, M. M. I. "Artificial intelligence in project management: Enhancing efficiency and decision-making." *International Journal of Management Information Systems and Data Science* 1.1 (2024): 1-6.
38. Somanathan, S. "Artificial intelligence driven agile project management: Enhancing collaboration, productivity, and decision-making in virtual teams." *Nanotechnology Perceptions (ISSN: 1660-6795)* 19.2 (2023).
39. Tekade, P. M., Thite, A., Parab, A., Jakapure, A., & Gadge, S. "AI-Enhanced Project Management." *2025 9th International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation (ICCCBEA)*. IEEE, (2025).
40. Valeriya, G., John, V., Singla, A., Devi, J. Y., & Kumar, K. "AI-powered super-workers: An experiment in workforce productivity and satisfaction." *BIO Web of Conferences*. Vol. 86. EDP Sciences, (2024).
41. Wood, A. J. "Algorithmic management consequences for work organisation and working conditions." No. 2021/07. JRC working papers series on labour, education and technology, (2021).

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Owusu, B. A. "An Evaluation of the Impact of AI-Enhanced Project Management Tools on U.S. Workforce Productivity, Satisfaction, and Skills Development." *Sarcouncil Journal of Public Administration and Management* 5.2 (2026): pp 34-44.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher