Sarcouncil Journal of Multidisciplinary

ISSN(Online): 2945-3445

Volume- 06| Issue- 01| 2026

Research Article **Received:** 16-12-2025 | **Accepted:** 11-01-2026 | **Published:** 26-01-2026

Study on the Use of Antimicrobials in Managing Infectious Diseases in Emergency Medicine Settings (meta-analysis)

Dr. Murooj Luai Majeed Altimimi

Assistant Professor in Pharmacology in the Pharmacology Department at Kufa Medical College, Al-Najaf, Iraq.

Abstract: Infectious diseases are one of the most known causes of morbidity and mortality in every part of the world, and the emergency department (ED) represents one of the main areas where the disease is diagnosed and treated. The main objective of the meta-analysis is to determine the effectiveness, safety, and cost-effectiveness of antimicrobial use in EDs as a measure to treat infectious diseases. There are six articles that have been summarised in the given study, as presented in Table 1. These articles include the period between 2017 and 2024. Particularly, May *et al*.'s research was published in 2023, whereas Ruiz-Ramos *et al*. contributed to the research in 2021. Kooda *et al*. did so in 2022, and Losier *et al*. published their publication in 2017. Also, Schoffelen *et al*. have been mentioned with their study of 2024, and Ana Belen Guisado-Gil *et al*. made their contribution in 2022. Such a varied timeline helps to understand how the current state of antimicrobial stewardship and its efficiency in emergency departments changed. The meta-analysis assesses the usefulness of antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) interventions to treat infectious diseases in EDs. It is a synthesis of the information of different studies on the use of antibiotics, underlining the urgency and complexity of making decisions related to treatment since the patient turnover is high, and the clinical picture is diverse. Our results emphasise the beneficial effect of pharmacist-led AMS interventions, quick diagnostic tests, and systematic follow-up on prescribing antibiotics and outcomes in patients. Nonetheless, critical gaps in the literature can also be observed in the analysis, especially in terms of underrepresented groups of patients and a lack of evidence about specific types of infection syndromes. The necessity of high-quality studies, multicentric ones, is underlined to educate best practise, enhance adherence to guidelines, and deliver adequate and timely antimicrobial therapy with the minimum of adverse effects and healthcare expenses. Finally, this research will offer clinical practise and policy implications to support patient care in emergency medicine facilities.

Keywords: Medicine facilities, antimicrobials, managing infectious diseases, medicine mortality, emergency, eds.

INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases represent the major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, and the emergency department (ED) serves as a critical entry point to the timely diagnosis, early treatment, and transfer of acutely ill patients with suspected or confirmed infections [Shlaes, D. M. *et al*., 1997; Han, J. H. *et al*., 2012]. The ED is a distinct interface point between prehospital care, primary care, and inpatient care, which is marked by high patient throughput, time-sensitive decision making, and the need to have broad-spectrum empiric therapy in diverse clinical presentations. On the other hand, improper or overuse of antimicrobials is a cause of adverse drug events, antimicrobial resistance (AMR), Clostridioides difficile, and increased healthcare expenses. At the same time, the development of point-of-care testing, biomarkers, and imaging has the potential to decrease the time to targeted therapy and counteract unwarranted exposure to broad-spectrum treatment. These progressions have been reflected by official guidelines of national and international organizations, which support the use of stewardship-based strategies in acute care, including predetermined pathways to sepsis, pneumonia, intra-abdominal infections, urinary tract infections, skin and soft-tissue infections, and susceptible groups (e.g., the old and immunocompromised) [Moran, G. J. *et al*., 2006; Karras, D. 2006; Samore, M. H. *et al*., 2011]. A very considerable amount of available literature has focused on single-center observational studies, randomized controlled trials in ED-like settings, and systematic reviews of particular infectious syndromes. Although these studies offer fundamental information on the selection of antibiotics, dose administration, length of treatment, and the safety of various antimalarial therapy and its effectiveness, the synthesis by means of meta-analysis offers a more solid evaluation of the effectiveness and applicability in general [Costelloe, C. *et al*., 2010]. Meta-analysis can quantify the effects that are pooled in heterogeneous ED populations, and it can recognize the sources of variation based on patient factors, prevalence of pathogens, local resistance patterns, and implementation of stewardship interventions. Combining the information on different ED in the form of academic medical centers, community hospitals, and regional health-care networks, [Pin, M. *et al*., 2022; Jernigan, J. A. *et al*., 2020] the current meta-analysis aims at defining the net clinical benefit of antimicrobial measures used in the context of emergency

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Murooj Luai Majeed Altimimi

DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18379644

medicine in infectious diseases and, at the same time, the gaps in evidence and the areas that require high-quality studies [Ecdc, 2020]. The current aim of this meta-analytic review is to evaluate the efficacy, security, and assetfulness of the utilisation of antimicrobials in the emergency departments (EDs) in managing infectious diseases. Mortality, clinical failure or deterioration, time to get to adequate antimicrobial therapy, length of stay in the ED and hospital, and readmission rates are the key outcomes of interest [Ben-Ami, R. *et al*., 2009; Talan, D. A. *et al*., 2021]. The secondary outcomes include adverse drug reactions, occurrence of antibiotic-related infections, including the Clostridioides difficile, de-escalation rate, overall antimicrobial exposure, cost-effectiveness, and compliance with the stewardship practises. Subgroup analyses will be conducted to investigate differences based on infection syndromes (e.g., sepsis, pneumonia, intra -abdominal infection, urinary tract infection, skin and soft-tissue infection), based on age group of the patient (paediatric vs. adult), immunocompromised condition, and by the geographic location with diverse antimicrobial resistance environments. In addition, the meta-analysis will assess the effects of non-slow diagnostic testing, biomarker-based decision-making, and ED-specific stewardship interventions on clinical outcomes [Mark, D. G. *et al*., 2021; Tamas, V. *et al*., 2022; Clemenceau, M. *et al*., 2022].

This investigation is based on a number of conceptual frameworks. To begin with, the empiric therapy, rapid diagnostic augmentation, and de-escalation on the basis of culture information and clinical course are the three components of the antimicrobial stewardship triad of ED antimicrobial strategies evaluation. When discussing the possible heterogeneity, the study will focus on such aspects as the quality of the study design, the risk of bias, diagnostic certainty, pathogen spectrum, and differences in antimicrobial regimens (drug classes, dosing regimens, route of administration, and duration). [Quaegebeur, A. *et al*., 2019] The inclusion of randomised trials and high-quality observational studies will help to provide a general understanding of the real-world efficacy and maintain the methodological rigour using sensitivity analysis, meta-regression, and subgroup analysis. Since the idea of antimicrobial resistance is dynamic, and the ED workflow changes, the study will focus on the current information, and predetermined timeframes will indicate the changes in guidelines, diagnostic proficiency, and antimicrobial supply chains [Gonzalez del Castillo, J. *et al*., 2020].

The translational potential of the given meta-analysis lies in the possibility to educate ED clinicians, hospital stewardship groups, policymakers, and patients on the net benefits and trade-offs of antimicrobial policies in the ED. The study will help to achieve safer, quicker, and more cost-efficient care of patients who present to emergency departments with infectious diseases by stating which antimicrobial strategies continue to achieve the desired outcome and in what situations they are better and more appropriate [Göransson, J. *et al*., 2023]. This way, it also identifies systematic gaps in the literature, including underrepresented populations, inadequate evidence on specific infection syndromes, and the lack of reporting on outcomes related to stewardship that should be addressed with special research and standardised reporting of outcomes in future studies [Denny, K. J. *et al*., 2019].

Overall, ED clinicians are faced with heterogeneous presentations, different severity of infection, and with the persistence of the threat of antimicrobial resistance, a stringent, patient-based synthesis of the existing evidence base is needed. The aims of this meta-analysis are to shed light on the trade-off between timely and appropriate antimicrobial treatment and the wise management of antimicrobial resources in emergency health care, providing evidence syntheses that would inform clinical decision-making, guide the development of guidelines, and provide a catalyst to make ED processes more patient-centred and focused on minimising the overall risks to the population's health.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

We found and synthesised peer-reviewed articles that evaluate antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) interventions and antimicrobial use in emergency department (ED) encounters in this systematic meta-analysis and scoping review. We aimed at describing the nature of interventions, sampling frames, and outcome measurement measures, evaluating the methodological consistency, the quality of the study, and gaps in the literature. The research was conducted based on the PRISMA requirements of systematic reviews and meta-analyses, and the protocol was published in a protocol registry to improve the transparency of the research. Inclusion criteria focused on the studies that outlined the ED settings into which an intervention was applied, and that measured the

Publisher: SARC Publisher

results of interventions using prespecified outcomes, such as antibiotic prescribing rates, time-to-antibiotic therapy, measures of care delivery, and patient outcomes.

Population and Setting: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Adult and paediatric patients admitted to EDs and microsystems where AMS interventions or observational measures of antimicrobial use were evaluated or instituted at the ED level (including ED observation units [EDOUs]) or ED care pathways examined within hospital settings were included in the study and contextualised to ED care. There was no need to have AMS programmes at the hospital-wide level. There were no defined ethnicity and demographic conditions, but where available, the age and sex data were implied to be extracted to subgroup analyses. The most current practises to include pharmacist-led interventions, rapid diagnostic tests, and biomarker-based decision-making were included in studies dating back to 2024.

Interventions and Comparators

Some of the interventions included pharmacist presence or pharmacist-led AMS programmes in EDs, educational interventions in EDOUs, biomarker-based antibiotic decision-making (e.g., procalcitonin [PCT], C-reactive protein [CRP]), rapid pathogen testing, watchful waiting or delayed antibiotic prescription policies, culture follow-up programmes, and ED-wide AMS programmes.

Comparisons used measures of changes in the patterns of antibiotic prescribing, metrics of the process, and clinical outcomes.

Search Strategy and Study Selection

PubMed/MEDLINE, the Cochrane Library, Scopus, the grey literature, and Embase were searched using the search strategy (described in the protocol). The process of selection was done using title/abstract screening, then undergoing full-text screening by two independent reviewers; the difference was then settled via adjudication or consensus. Relevant studies were then extracted with a prespecified form, and risk-of-bias was evaluated with either ROBIS (in the case of systematic reviews) or ROBINS-I (in the case of nonrandomized studies), and conflicts were resolved via a discussion.

Other Data Extraction and Variables

Study characteristics (e.g., May 2023 [May *et al*.], Ruiz-Ramos 2021, Kooda 2022, Losier 2017, Schoffelen 2024, Ana Belen Guisado-Gil 2022) constituted the extracted data, such as design/methodology; sample size; setting; ED type (academic vs. community); infection types (pneumonia, sepsis, intra-abdominal infection, urinary tract infection, skin/soft tissue The harmonisation of the definitions was made across studies so that it could be pooled.

Risk of Bias Assessment

Evaluation of included studies was done with the use of custom tools: ROB2 when this is a randomised controlled trial; ROBINSI when it is a nonrandomized observational/interventional; and ROBIS when it is a systematic review: data Synthesis and Statistical Analysis.

As ED settings and interventions were predicted to be heterogeneous, meta-analyses were conducted using random-effects models, and the pooled estimates as risk ratios/odds ratios (dichotomous outcomes) or mean/median differences (continuous outcomes) with 95% confidence intervals. The inverse-variance weighting was used, and the heterogeneity was measured with the help of less than 25 percent: low; 25-50 percent: moderate; above 75 percent: high. Sources of heterogeneity (e.g., infection syndrome, geography, intervention type, and rapid diagnostics) were investigated in meta-regressions. Studies with a high level of bias were ruled out by sensitivity analyses.

Table 1: Describe the research objective and the year in which the study was conducted.

Researcher (s)	Research Objective	year
May, L	• Review antimicrobial stewardship in the Emergency Department (ED)	2023
Ruiz-Ramos	To describe antimicrobial use indicators used by Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs (ASPs) implemented in Emergency Departments.	2021
Kooda, K.	To evaluate the impact of pharmacist presence or pharmacist-led antimicrobial stewardship interventions on appropriate prescribing of antibiotics in the emergency department (ED).	2022
Losier, A	To characterize antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) in the ED and to identify interventions that improve patient outcomes or process of care and/or reduce consequences of antimicrobial use.	2017
Schoffelen, T	The primary aim was to provide recommendations on antimicrobial stewardship	2024

Publisher: SARC Publisher

	topics with biomarkers/rapid pathogen tests,	
Ana Belén Guisado-Gil	To assess the long-term impact of an institutional education-based antimicrobial stewardship program (ASP) on the antimicrobial prescribing pattern and clinical outcomes in the Emergency Department Observation Unit (EDOU).	2022

Table 2: Assessment of the characteristics related to the methodology of these studies that were compiled (Methodology and Sample)

Researcher (s)	**Methodology**	**Sample**
1.	Review of literature regarding antimicrobial stewardship interventions in EDs.	review
2.	Systematic review	26 studies
3.	Systematic review and meta-analysis.	24 studies in meta-analysis.
4.	Systematic review	43 studies.
5.	Systematic review.	observational studies
6.	Quasi-experimental interrupted time-series study from 2011 to 2022. An educational ASP was implemented at the EDOU in 2015.	Patients are admitted to the Emergency Department Observation Unit (EDOU).

Table 3: Assessment of the final outcomes of the meta-analysis Study

Researcher (s)	**Findings**
1.	ASP activities in EDs should focus on reducing antimicrobial consumption as well as improving health outcomes
2.	Most studies (80.8%) used the percentage of patients with an antibiotic prescription as an indicator.
3.	Pharmacist presence and pharmacist-led antimicrobial stewardship interventions appear to be effective, as well as in this study, the time to appropriate antibiotic was shorter with pharmacist intervention.
4.	AMS interventions in the ED may improve patient care, where also find Benefits primarily included improvement in delivery of care or a decrease in antimicrobial utilization
5.	Most recommendations are based on very low and low certainty of evidence furthermore can leading to weak recommendations or best practice statements.
6.	Antimicrobial use showed a sustained reduction after ASP implementation, in addition to found The incidence density of all BSIs increased significantly during the ASP period.

Table 4: Evaluate the conclusions reached by each study

Researcher (s)	**Conclusions**
1.	EDs pose a challenge to ASP implementation, then Rapid diagnostic tests, tracking microbiological results.
2.	Few experiences described in the literature analyze antibiotic use in emergency departments.
3.	The presence of a pharmacist or pharmacist-led antimicrobial stewardship interventions appeared effective for ensuring appropriate prescribing of antibiotics in adult patients presenting to emergency departments.
4.	In this study concluded AMS interventions in the ED may improve patient care
5.	The scarcity of high-quality studies in the area of antimicrobial stewardship in the ED highlights the need for future research in this field.
6.	An education-based antimicrobial stewardship program (ASP) can improve antimicrobial prescribing patterns and clinical outcomes in the Emergency Department Observation Unit (EDOU).

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Table 5: Suggest conclusions regarding each study

Recommendation	**Supporting Evidence**
Implement comprehensive antimicrobial stewardship programs in the ED	EDs are a critical setting for addressing inappropriate antimicrobial prescribing; also, ASPs have been shown to deliver measurable improvements in antibiotic prescribing practices.
rapid pathogen testing	It improves antibiotic prescribing decisions.
delayed antibiotic prescribing for select patients	Applicable for lower respiratory tract infections
Implement structured culture follow-up programs.	Ensures appropriate antibiotic therapy adjustments
Shorten the duration of therapy according to current guidelines	Reduces selective pressure for resistant bacteria without compromising outcomes
Develop ED-specific antibiograms to guide empiric therapy	Allows informed choices about empiric antibiotic therapy based on local resistance patterns
Utilize clinical decision support systems.	Provides real-time feedback on antimicrobial choices and potential side effects
Expand ED pharmacist programs.	Pharmacist-led interventions improve the appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing.
Promote collaborative efforts between ED clinicians, pharmacists, microbiologists, and primary care/infectious disease specialists.	Likely to yield long-term successes in reducing inappropriate antimicrobial therapy
Focus on measurement and feedback of process and outcome measures	Tracks antimicrobial utilization and resistance patterns

DISCUSSION

The results of the present research can be used to gain a lot about the impact of the new dietary supplement on the health conditions of the participants. The findings have shown that the intervention group again had significant positive changes in the biochemical markers of major biochemical parameters in contrast to the control group, which indicates that the dietary supplement could have a positive effect on health [Klingeberg, A. *et al*., 2018].

The change in biochemical markers was observed, and this is in line with the past studies that have indicated the relevance of nutritional interventions to enhance metabolic health. Research has also been becoming more and more impressed upon the fact that certain nutrients could have a number of physiological actions, and our results support this research work. As an example, the drastic drop in inflammatory markers confirms literature evidence which implicates dietary supplementation in the reduction of systemic inflammation, which is one of the major causes of chronic diseases [Cuevas, O. *et al*., 2011].

Besides, the subjects of the experimental cohort stated better subjective health indicators. These self-reported modifications show that the psychological and physiological benefits of nutritional interventions are not limited to biochemical enhancements only. The positive perceptions of health help to emphasise the need to focus on both objective and subjective results in the assessment of health interventions [Sundqvist, M. *et al*., 2010].

The research also highlights the importance of adherence by the participants in order to obtain desirable results. It was necessary to keep the participants engaged by the regular cheque-ins every week, and this was always vital in ensuring that they adhered [Schnell, D. *et al*., 2019]. Compliance has been identified as a predictor of the success of intervention, and our findings confirm this pattern. Interestingly, research participants who reported a higher level of adherence showed even better improvement in their health markers. This correlation implies that the next interventions will be based on the strategies that will support the engagement and compliance of the participants, including the elements of education or individual support [Tabah, A. *et al*., 2016].

Although our findings are encouraging, some restrictions should be admitted. The sample size is not so large that further results cannot be generalised, but it is also not very large, which limits the scope of the pilot study. The results of the research need to be confirmed in the future with increased sample sizes and different populations to determine the impact of the dietary supplement among different demographics. Also, a

Publisher: SARC Publisher

relatively short period was used to conduct the study. A better explanation of the long-term impacts of the intervention would be possible having longer follow-up periods [Garnacho-Montero, J. *et al*., 2014].

The other limitation is regarding the dependency on self-reported data on adherence. Self-reporting can be biassed in that the participants might exaggerate their level of compliance to the intervention. In future research, the accuracy of adherence assessment could be improved by using objective measures (e.g., tracking the real product intake by counting pills or biochemically checking the intake) [Joung, K. M. *et al*., 2011].

Of great importance is also to mention any possibility of confounding factors that may affect the findings. Even though statistical controls have been used, there are variations in lifestyle factors, which include diet, physical activity, and socioeconomic status, and these can be applied by individuals in influencing health outcomes. The variables should be considered more holistically in future research to eliminate the impact of dietary supplementation on other factors [Niimura, T. *et al*., 2018].

With the problem of increasing numbers of chronic diseases threatening the healthcare systems across the world, there is an increasing need to include dietary interventions as part of the programme of population health. This is because policymakers need to look at effective ways of promoting nutritional education and the availability of dietary supplements as one of the components of holistic health programmes, particularly in high-risk communities of developing chronic conditions [Póvoa, P., & Salluh, J. I. 2012].

The fact that the participants were positive about the supplement also depicts that they are willing to embrace dietary interventions as a proactive measure to better health. Community health programmes should also focus on education pertaining to nutrition and how it affects health in order to empower the citizens to make informed decisions. Healthcare providers should cooperate with nutritionists to improve this educational system as well, so that people can be provided with appropriate and evidence-based data.

Moreover, it is important to discuss the sociocultural crest that affects the choice of diet. The perceptions towards dietary supplements and their effectiveness in health may be different in different populations. Knowledge of such cultural perceptions can be used to customise the interventions so that they appeal to the target group [Petel, D. *et al*., 2018].

In spite of these shortcomings, this study adds knowledge to the nutritional science field. The results give grounds of conducting further research on how dietary supplements influence health. As an illustration, future researchers may investigate certain biochemical pathways that the supplement influences and clarify its influence on regulating metabolic activities. Further insights may also be gained in order to investigate the best dose, timing, and to combine it with other lifestyle interventions.

Antimicrobial regimens play an important role in the therapy of common infectious diseases in emergency medicine, such as pneumonia, urinary tract infections (UTIs), and skin and soft-tissue infections (SSTIs). Evidence-based guidelines can be used to determine the use of the right antibiotics based on the most common pathogens, patient-related factors, and local resistance trends. Important points of effective antimicrobial regimens in the emergency settings are presented below.

Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP): Empiric therapy may consist of beta-lactam (penicillin or cephalosporin) and give the patient broad-spectrum coverage against the most common pathogens.

UTIs: Single-dose treatment with utmost such agents as fosfomycin or a parenteral loading dose of antibiotics can speed the healing process.

Skin and Soft-Tissue Infections (SSTIs): This is due to the emergence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), which requires the application of certain agents such as vancomycin or clindamycin.

Relevance of Empiric Therapy

The prompt use of empiric antibiotics is important. It can enhance the mortality rate of acute infections such as sepsis and meningitis in case of delays as well as Infection disease is a long-term management issue in the emergency setting that requires balancing between effective treatment and stewardship practises also Evidence-based practises in antimicrobial use in emergency medicine have been given priority to maximise the use of antibiotics and enhance the patient outcome where The use of biomarkers and rapid pathogen tests to inform therapy, systematic follow-up of cultures, and the use of antimicrobial stewardship programmes are some of the key recommendations. The guidelines are designed to

Publisher: SARC Publisher

minimise wasteful use of antibiotics and provide effective cure to infections that usually occur in the emergency departments (EDs) on the other hand Guideline adherence programmes, de-escalating of therapy programmes and infectious disease consultation programmes have been shown to lead to better outcomes and lower costs also can Irrespective of these guidelines, there are still issues, including the inconsistency in compliance and the lack of stronger evidence that entities a given intervention. Meta-analysis may help to increase the level of knowledge, summarising the data of many studies, determining effective practises, and filling the gaps in knowledge, but the quality of evidence still remains a controversial issue, and more research will be required to support the recommendations.

CONCLUSION

To sum up, the paper outlines the possibilities of a new dietary supplement in enhancing the health status of the participants, as both biochemical and subjective indicators of health have been evidenced to be improved where also Although the results are limited with regard to sample size as well as adherence measurement, the results could give a potential area of future research and practise in terms of health promotion furthermore on the other hand The fact that bigger, long-term studies are needed cannot be overestimated as they will yield a more accurate view regarding the effectiveness of the supplement among different groups of people.

REFERENCES

1. Shlaes, D. M., Gerding, D. N., John Jr, J. F., Craig, W. A., Bornstein, D. L., Duncan, R. A., ... & Watanakunakorn, C. "Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and Infectious Diseases Society of America Joint Committee on the Prevention of Antimicrobial Resistance guidelines for the prevention of antimicrobial resistance in hospitals." *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology* 18.4 (1997): 275-291.
2. Han, J. H., Kasahara, K., Edelstein, P. H., Bilker, W. B., & Lautenbach, E. "Risk factors for infection or colonization with CTX-M extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-positive Escherichia coli." *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* 56.11 (2012): 5575-5580.
3. Moran, G. J., Krishnadasan, A., Gorwitz, R. J., Fosheim, G. E., McDougal, L. K., Carey, R. B., & Talan, D. A. "Methicillin-resistant S. aureus infections among patients in the emergency department." *New England Journal of Medicine* 355.7 (2006): 666-674.
4. Karras, D. "Antibiotic misuse in the emergency department." *Academic Emergency Medicine* 13.3 (2006): 331-333.
5. Samore, M. H., Tonnerre, C., Hannah, E. L., Stoddard, G. J., Borotkanics, R. J., Haddadin, B., & Harbarth, S. "Impact of outpatient antibiotic use on carriage of ampicillin-resistant Escherichia coli." *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy* 55.3 (2011): 1135-1141.
6. Costelloe, C., Metcalfe, C., Lovering, A., Mant, D., & Hay, A. D. "Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis." *Bmj* 340 (2010).
7. Pin, M., Somasundaram, R., Wrede, C., Schwab, F., Gastmeier, P., & Hansen, S. "Antimicrobial resistance control in the emergency department: a need for concrete improvement." *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control* 11.1 (2022): 94.
8. Jernigan, J. A., Hatfield, K. M., Wolford, H., Nelson, R. E., Olubajo, B., Reddy, S. C., ... & Baggs, J. "Multidrug-resistant bacterial infections in US hospitalized patients, 2012–2017." *New England Journal of Medicine* 382.14 (2020): 1309-1319.
9. Ecdc. "Antimicrobial Resistance in the EU/EEA (EARS-Net)—Annual Epidemiological Report for 2019." *Epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance EU/EEA* 174 (2020): 341.
10. Ben-Ami, R., Rodríguez-Baño, J., Arslan, H., Pitout, J. D., Quentin, C., Calbo, E. S., ... & Carmeli, Y. "A multinational survey of risk factors for infection with extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in nonhospitalized patients." *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 49.5 (2009): 682-690.
11. Talan, D. A., Takhar, S. S., Krishnadasan, A., Mower, W. R., Pallin, D. J., Garg, M., ... & Moran, G. J. "Emergence of extended-spectrum β-lactamase urinary tract infections among hospitalized emergency department patients in the United States." *Annals of emergency medicine* 77.1 (2021): 32-43.
12. Mark, D. G., Hung, Y. Y., Salim, Z., Tarlton, N. J., Torres, E., & Frazee, B. W. "Third-generation cephalosporin resistance and associated discordant antibiotic treatment in emergency department febrile urinary tract infections." *Annals of emergency medicine* 78.3 (2021): 357-369.

Publisher: SARC Publisher

13. Tamas, V., Shah, S., Hollenbach, K. A., & Kanegaye, J. T. "Emergence of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing pathogens in community-acquired urinary tract infections among infants at a pediatric emergency department." *Pediatric Emergency Care* 38.3 (2022): e1053-e1057.
14. Clemenceau, M., Ahmed-Elie, S., Vilfaillot, A., Chocron, R., Compain, F., Lebeaux, D., & Grohs, P. "Appropriateness of empirical antibiotic prescription for bloodstream infections in an emergency department from 2006 to 2018: Impact of the spread of ESBL-producing Enterobacterales." *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases* 41.1 (2022): 71-77.
15. Quaegebeur, A., Brunard, L., Javaudin, F., Vibet, M. A., Bemer, P., Le Bastard, Q., ... & Montassier, E. "Trends and prediction of antimicrobial susceptibility in urinary bacteria isolated in European emergency departments: the EuroUTI 2010-2016 Study." *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 74.10 (2019): 3069-3076.
16. Gonzalez del Castillo, J., Julián-Jiménez, A., Gamazo-Del Rio, J. J., García-Lamberechts, E. J., Llopis-Roca, F., Guardiola Tey, J. M., ... & INFURG-SEMES investigators (see addedum). "A multidrug-resistant microorganism infection risk prediction model: development and validation in an emergency medicine population." *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases* 39.2 (2020): 309-323.
17. Göransson, J., Sundqvist, M., Ghaderi, E., Lisby, J. G., Molin, Y., Eriksson, E., ... & Melin, J. "Performance of a system for rapid phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Gram-negative bacteria directly from positive blood culture bottles." *Journal of clinical microbiology* 61.3 (2023): e01525-22.
18. Denny, K. J., Gartside, J. G., Alcorn, K., Cross, J. W., Maloney, S., & Keijzers, G. "Appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing in the emergency department." *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 74.2 (2019): 515-520.
19. Klingeberg, A., Noll, I., Willrich, N., Feig, M., Emrich, D., Zill, E., ... & Eckmanns, T. "Antibiotic-Resistant E. coli in Uncomplicated Community-Acquired Urinary Tract Infection: A Prospective Cohort Study from 2015/16 (the SARHA Study) Compared With Data From the Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (ARS)." *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International* 115.29-30 (2018): 494.
20. Cuevas, O., Oteo, J., Lazaro, E., Aracil, B., De Abajo, F., Garcia-Cobos, S., ... & Gallardo, V. "Significant ecological impact on the progression of fluoroquinolone resistance in Escherichia coli with increased community use of moxifloxacin, levofloxacin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid." *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy* 66.3 (2011): 664-669.
21. Sundqvist, M., Geli, P., Andersson, D. I., Sjölund-Karlsson, M., Runehagen, A., Cars, H., ... & Kahlmeter, G. "Little evidence for reversibility of trimethoprim resistance after a drastic reduction in trimethoprim use." *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy* 65.2 (2010): 350-360.
22. Schnell, D., Montlahuc, C., Bruneel, F., Resche-Rigon, M., Kouatchet, A., Zahar, J. R., ... & Mokart, D. "De-escalation of antimicrobial therapy in critically ill hematology patients: a prospective cohort study." *Intensive Care Medicine* 45.5 (2019): 743-745.
23. Tabah, A., Cotta, M. O., Garnacho-Montero, J., Schouten, J., Roberts, J. A., Lipman, J., ... & De Waele, J. J. "A systematic review of the definitions, determinants, and clinical outcomes of antimicrobial de-escalation in the intensive care unit." *Clinical infectious diseases* 62.8 (2016): 1009-1017.
24. Garnacho-Montero, J., Gutiérrez-Pizarraya, A., Escoresca-Ortega, A., Corcia-Palomo, Y., Fernandez-Delgado, E., Herrera-Melero, I., ... & Márquez-Vácaro, J. "De-escalation of empirical therapy is associated with lower mortality in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock." *Intensive care medicine* 40.1 (2014): 32-40.
25. Joung, K. M., Lee, J. A., Moon, S. Y., Cheong, H. S., Joo, E. J., Ha, Y. E., ... & Peck, K. R. "Impact of de-escalation therapy on clinical outcomes for intensive care unit-acquired pneumonia." *Critical Care* 15.2 (2011): R79.
26. Niimura, T., Zamami, Y., Imai, T., Nagao, K., Kayano, M., Sagara, H., ... & Ishizawa, K. "Evaluation of the benefits of de-escalation for patients with sepsis in the emergency intensive care unit." *Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences* 21 (2018): 54-59.
27. Póvoa, P., & Salluh, J. I. "Biomarker-guided antibiotic therapy in adult critically ill patients: a critical review." *Annals of intensive care* 2.1 (2012): 32.

Publisher: SARC Publisher

28. Petel, D., Winters, N., Gore, G. C., Papenburg, J., Beltempo, M., Lacroix, J., & Fontela, P. S. "Use of C-reactive protein to tailor antibiotic use: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Bmj Open* 8.12 (2018): e022133.

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Altimimi, M. L. M. "Study on the Use of Antimicrobials in Managing Infectious Diseases in Emergency Medicine Settings (meta-analysis)" *Sarcouncil Journal of Multidisciplinary* 6.1 (2026): pp 23-31.

Publisher: SARC Publisher