

Adaptive AI Systems for Intelligent Decision Optimization in Supply Chain Management: A Machine Learning Approach

Omotola Ogunsola¹, Linda Zoe Serh², and Nasiru Hutchful³

¹ Prairie View A&M University, USA

² Department of Sociology, University of Ghana, Ghana

³ Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Mines and Technology, Ghana

Abstract: Modern supply chains are characterized by volatility and complexity, exposing the inadequacy of traditional management practices. Despite the promise of using Artificial Intelligence (AI)/Machine Learning (ML) in Supply Chain Management (SCM), the adoption is hindered by black-box decision models, integration challenges, and lack of interpretability. This paper provides a review of the current state of AI adoption in SCM, taking both academic literature, regulatory environments and corporate practices into account. Results indicate that AI has helped in advanced forecasting, automation, and operations efficiency; its benefits are constrained by explainability gaps, scalability issues, and lack of the right alignment to governance needs. Industry use-cases in the form of Amazon, Walmart, DHL, among others, demonstrate the practical nature of the use of AI/ML in SCM and the various bottlenecks of these approaches. This research proposes solutions to address these challenges, which consist of advancing forecasting using advanced ML techniques, leveraging on reinforcement learning (RL) and multi-agent RL to cope with adaptability, graph neural networks (GNNs) for resilience, infusing explainability and human oversight to drive compliance, and integrating sustainability measures to improve SC optimization. The paper highlights that a successful adoption of these solutions, with the required level of governance and organizational readiness, can ensure the supply chains resilience, transparency, and sustainable networks that can better operate under uncertainty and disruption.

Keywords: Adaptive AI systems, black-box models, machine learning, reinforcement learning, graph neural networks.

INTRODUCTION

Supply chains are the lifelines of the world economy, which helps companies to improve operational tasks, minimize costs and establish competitiveness. In the United States, especially, supply chains are foundational to industries such as healthcare, manufacturing, logistics, and retail, which cumulatively represent trillions of dollars in economic value. They enable just-in-time production and distribution, support demand-driven operations and underline the robustness of critical services (Riad *et al.*, 2024). Yet, events such as COVID-19, semiconductor shortage, geopolitical clashes, and cyber-attacks indicate vulnerabilities in traditional supply network configurations (Ganjare *et al.*, 2024; Hendriksen, 2023). Previous SCM were highly dependent on deterministic models and static planning, which do not work well under conditions of uncertainty and volatility. Consequently, interest in using AI and ML to improve visibility, agility and predictive capabilities is increasing. AI tools are already employed for demand forecasting, logistics routing (Terrada *et al.*, 2022; Hendriksen, 2023), risk management, and circular supply chain strategies (Jahin *et al.*, 2023; Farshadfar *et al.*, 2024). However, these studies are typically narrow in scope. With current Predictive Analytics, service levels and wastage have improved, however, challenges in deploying AI models to scale across

varied geographies and product categories still remain (Iseri *et al.*, 2025).

Recent reviews in artificial intelligence's integration in supply chain management and demand planning for supply chains have indicated increasing utilization of supervised learning, reinforcement learning, and deep learning around SCM (Walter *et al.*, 2025; Bahuguna *et al.*, 2023). Hence, this research contributes to growing academic, industry, and policy discussions around AI applications in SCM and provides an overview of the and strengths and limitations of the existing methodologies and shares U.S. regulatory frameworks for responsible AI, e.g., NIST AI RMF, Executive Order 14028, EU AI Act, and OECD AI Principles. This research also analyzes the main obstacles to AI uptake and suggests a series of recommendations to overcome these as well as addresses gaps and suggestions for improvements and use in practical cases.

Current Landscape of Supply Chain Management

Today's supply chains are more data-driven and digitally capable than ever before, but are still plagued by persistent depletion and vulnerabilities. Firms have been rapidly moving to adopt digital platforms, devices, and predictive models to improve visibility and responsiveness of supply

chain in the U.S. and across the globe (Bahuguna *et al.*, 2023). This development has been especially critical in times of increasing supply chain complexity resulting from globalization, demand volatility and geopolitical uncertainty. Although this has improved, there continue to be some great success stories in spite of persisting roadblocks.

Current Successes

There are numerous technological and organizational measures that have made supply chains more efficient and robust. The first is predictive analytics and demand forecasting, where accuracy has been greatly improved when it comes to inventory management and demand planning. For instance, when ML models are employed with historical sales and operations data, improvement forecast accuracy goes up to 15 % and fewer stockouts were observed (Pasupuleti *et al.*, 2024; Terrada *et al.*, 2022). In addition, the automation of warehousing and logistics, like AI robotics and route optimization systems, has increased order fill rates and reduced operational costs (Hendriksen, 2023). Plus, planning and visibility have been improved with data consolidation across enterprise systems. ERPs and SCM systems enable firms to integrate suppliers, manufacturers, and distributors under digitally integrated networks structures (Amin, 2024). In addition, sustainability-based innovation is emerging, such as AI applied for optimization of reverse logistics, recycling, and circular supply chains (Fashadfar *et al.*, 2024; Iseri *et al.*, 2025).

Challenges

However, despite these advancements, there still exist some limitations in the way SCM is practiced today. One of these weaknesses is data fragmentation. Interoperability across many levels of suppliers and vendors poses a significant challenge for organizations. Limited horizontal integration emerges as a common barrier to responsiveness in systematic reviews (Bahuguna *et al.*, 2023; Walter *et al.*, 2025). There is a further problem of static decision making. Most conventional planning cycles tend to be too inflexible to cope with sudden interventions, thus reacting late in emergencies like COVID-19 or geopolitical supply shocks (Riad *et al.*, 2024). Another shortcoming that relates to a fundamental limitation is present in the presence of black-box models. Most deployed ML models are non-interpretable, undermining stakeholders' trust and adding complexity to the fulfillment of governance obligations (van der Aalst, 2017; Amin, 2024). Insufficient sustainability integration is also listed

among the limitations of the SCM. Although some advancements were achieved, majority of supply chain AI systems are concerned primarily with efficiency and less with long-term resilience and environmental targets (Farshadfar *et al.*, 2024). And finally, there is organizational and cultural resistance. Research points to the fact that the supply chains tend to be slow to adopt the use of adaptive supply chain solutions, making change management face challenges like scarcity of skilled personnel and resistance towards AI (Amin, 2024; Riad *et al.*, 2024).

Expert Perspectives and Industry Voices

Academics and practitioners emphasize the dual role of AI in supply chains as disruptive innovation and innovative disruption. Hendriksen (2023) presents AI adoption as a socio-technical transition, where the adequacy of governance models is needed as much as innovative technologies. Several reviews (Ganjare *et al.*, 2024; Sinoimeri & Teta, 2023) point out that despite the strategic advantages that AI and ML bring to agility and resilience, challenges such as scalability, transparency and cross-sector applicability persisted. Amazon and Walmart invested in forecasting and replenishing AI with real value. Amazon enhanced the demand forecasts to decrease the stockouts and minimize the delivery time, whereas Walmart systems reduced the excessive inventory and decreased food waste in the grocery supply chains (Iseri *et al.*, 2025; Terrada *et al.*, 2022). These results indicate that AI has the potential to generate actual operational value, but the two firms continue to struggle with the global expansion of such systems. but integration challenges at global scale remain. (Iseri, *et al.*, 2025).

AI and Machine Learning in Supply Chain

Recent developments in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have become a game changer in supply chain management (SCM), providing state-of-the-art tools for forecasting, optimization and disruption management. Bahuguna *et al.*, (2023) and Walter *et al.*, (2025) showed the superiority of the AI-based SCs over the legacy ones in terms of agility, visibility, and prediction accuracy. Meanwhile, in the corporate realm, there have been both significant movements forward and lingering constrictions, signifying the gap between the academic promises and the pragmatic realities.

Machine Learning Applications for SCM

A variety of ML methods have been employed to navigate supply chain issues. These methods are the most investigated and practiced in different supply chains. These include supervised learning, deep learning models, reinforcement learning, multi-agent reinforcement learning, and graph neural networks.

Supervised learning methods including regression models, random forests, and support vector machines (SVMs) which classify data by finding optimal decision boundaries are widely used for supplier risk evaluation, order quantity optimization, and logistics routing (Amin, 2024). For more complex time-series and pattern recognition tasks, deep learning models such as long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, a type of recurrent neural network designed to capture long-term dependencies in sequential data and convolutional neural networks (CNNs), commonly used for pattern recognition and feature extraction, have demonstrated significant improvements in demand forecasting accuracy and risk prediction (Terrada *et al.*, 2022; Pasupuleti *et al.*, 2024). Beyond prediction, reinforcement learning (RL) approaches, including Q-learning and deep Q-networks are increasingly applied to solve inventory replenishment and delivery decisions under uncertainty (Zhou *et al.*, 2024). Extending this paradigm, multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) enables decentralized coordination across suppliers and distributors, particularly within multi-echelon inventory systems (Mousa *et al.*, 2024). More recently, graph neural networks (GNNs) have been used to capture interdependencies across complex supply chain networks, allowing for better modeling of dynamic topological changes (Kotecha & del Rio Chanona, 2025). Finally, hybrid approaches such as PROPEL combine supervised learning with RL to balance scalability and flexibility in large-scale planning problems (Akhlaghi *et al.*, 2025).

The ML approaches above indicate adaptive SCM systems that are capable of continuous learning from different and chaotic environments.

Corporate Implementation and Use Cases

Industry leaders provide concrete illustrations of both the potential and the limitations of AI in supply chain management. Amazon, for instance, applies predictive analytics and deep learning to optimize the distribution of products across its fulfillment centers. By forecasting regional demand more accurately, Amazon has reduced

delivery times and mitigated stockouts, though scaling these systems globally remains a challenge due to data integration across markets (Iseri *et al.*, 2025). Similarly, Walmart has deployed machine learning models for demand forecasting and inventory replenishment. These applications have improved forecast accuracy and reduced food waste in their grocery supply chains, demonstrating tangible efficiency gains while also highlighting the ongoing difficulty of adapting models to highly variable consumer behavior (Walter *et al.*, 2025; Terrada *et al.*, 2022). In logistics, DHL uses AI for predictive fleet maintenance and real-time routing optimization. This has improved operational reliability, reduced downtime, and cut transportation costs, though the company continues to grapple with ensuring explainability and compliance across different regulatory environments (Hendriksen, 2023). Collectively, these cases show that AI delivers measurable benefits in forecasting, efficiency, and resilience, but also that issues of scalability, interoperability, and governance remain unresolved.

These are clear examples of efficiency, responsiveness, and resilience that we can measure. But they also highlight hurdles to scaling AI across worldwide operations, connecting to partners, and achieving regulatory alignment.

Insights and Gaps

Current AI in supply chain management (SCM) research tends to focus on the predictive power of AI, and most often, such works are still experimental, being tested in controlled or simulated settings rather than fully implemented within an industry. This exemplifies the potential of AI-fueled forecasting and optimization and underscores the difficulties in making such models operational within the enterprise.

One interesting field is the application of predictive analytics to reverse logistics. Predicting return quantities and the recovery requirements of products can enable companies to optimize their transportation, remanufacturing, or recycling flows using AI. As an example, in closed loop supply chain for electronics and renewable energy products, prediction models eliminate unnecessary shipments by predicting demand for remanufactured items and scheduling collection based on that. This is cost-saving and supports concepts of the circular economy (Iseri *et al.*, 2025).

Likewise, in demand forecasting, deep learning models (e.g., LSTM or CNN) are known to outperform conventional statistical approaches. These models can approximate nonlinear trends in consumer demand as well as seasonality, and result in better predictions and good matching between supply and demand (Terrada *et al.*, 2022).

This study also shows the role of AI in achieving supply chain resilience through better visibility, redundancy and flexibility. Conceptually, AI tools can report on the overall health risk of their networks, probably simulate disruptions and help to strategize scenarios (Riad *et al.*, 2024). However, these advances continue to be hindered by significant challenges. Explainability gaps restrict trust and regulatory compliance (Hendriksen, 2023; Amin, 2024), scalability constraints inhibit the use of models over large multi-tier networks (Ganjare *et al.*, 2023; Sinoimeri & Teta, 2023), and organizational obstacles such as workforce skill shortages and cultural resistance retard integration (Riad *et al.*, 2024).

Viewed in aggregate, these perspectives all help to indicate that AI is no longer a “nice to have” option for supply chains seeking to become more competitive. Thus, for AI to work, it will require more than technical advances based on mathematics and logic. The success of AI will ultimately hinge on governance, transparency and institutional resilience. As Hendriksen (2023) further argues, the taking up of AI is part of a broader sociotechnical transformation that means inserting algorithms into systems of accountability and trust. For this reason, the regulatory and governance framework of this process will increasingly influence the pace, extent and legitimacy of AI application in SCM.

REGULATORY AND POLICY LANDSCAPE

Governance and policy frameworks play a decisive role in shaping the adoption of AI in supply chain management (SCM). AI in SCM is not driven only by technological capacity. The credibility, safety, and trustworthiness of these systems depend on whether they comply with national regulations, international standards, and widely accepted governance principles. Regulatory structures determine not only which systems can be deployed but also how they must be designed, monitored, and audited.

U.S. Regulatory Environment

The U.S. is developing a sectoral and risk-based strategy for AI governance and has launched several initiatives that will have a direct impact on supply chains. NIST AI Risk Management Framework (AI RMF, 2023) offers voluntary but widely cited guidelines for trustworthy AI with focus on reliability, transparency, privacy, and accountability. Companies that are using AI in SCM must adhere to these principles to ensure robustness and trust of stakeholders (AI, Nist, 2023). Executive Order 14028, “*Improving the Nation’s Cybersecurity*” (2021) directive mandates that federal agencies and their contractors protect digital supply chains, which is an initiative that makes cybersecurity a national priority. For supply chain management (SCM), its importance is established in the requirement for continuously managing end-to-end risks, and with AI-based tools to spot outliers, identify threats to the supply chain, and ensure vendors are honest. In doing so, it demonstrates how federal policy can drive organizations to adopt AI not just as an instrument of efficiency but a central instrument of security (House, 2021).

Additionally, the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) has imposed strict cybersecurity and resilience requirements for its logistics networks, which has had a “domino” effect on defense contractors and their suppliers. In Healthcare Supply Chains, AI oversight over medical supply chains is being slowly implemented by the FDA and HHS. For instance, AI accountability is being implemented to maintain reliable and auditable pharmaceutical distribution which further leads to mitigating patient safety risks (Warraich *et al.*, 2025).

These activities embody a regulatory proclivity for not just functional competence but also responsibility, resilience and explainability and compulsion for organizations to ensure that their AI systems are transparent and auditable.

International Benchmarks

There are also international and multilateral agreements that shape business and academia regarding AI in SCM.

European Union Artificial Intelligence Act (2024): This act introduces legally binding requirements on the use of “high-risk” AI systems, such as logistics and critical infrastructure applications. Such needs involve explainability, human oversight (“human-in-the-loop”), and

compliance checks before deployment. These requirements are the most prescriptive, representing a hard legal standard that is now binding in Member States across the EU.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) AI Principles (2019, 2022 updated): The OECD is a group of 38 countries that sets international standards, including AI principles around: inclusive growth, sustainable development and well-being; human-centered values and fairness; transparency and explainability; robustness, security and safety; accountability. Though non-binding, soft law principles have made a contribution to global corporate governance by providing legitimacy beyond national borders and influencing voluntary codes of conduct within companies.

ISO/IEC AI Standards: Internationally, the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) are developing normative standards to measure quality, interoperability, and risk in AI. These standards also serve as tangible references that entities (corporations and/or individuals) can leverage to prove adherence to the law across jurisdictions (Oviedo *et al.*, 2024).

In sum, the EU stresses prescriptive compliance, the U.S. principles-based and sectoral regulation, and the OECD offers voluntary yet globally legitimate soft-law guidance.

Implications for Corporations

More transparent governance structures may help inspire confidence and speed adoption by offering standards of accountability. Firms not conforming in accordance with the NIST AI RMF or OECD principles are often seen as challenged leaders in the Digital Supply Chain (Warraich *et al.*, 2025). Complying with EU requirements can also help gain access to European markets as an indication of conformity with internationally accepted high-risk system norms.

There are policies and regulations that impose expensive overhead on the implementation of AI. For instance, transparency regulations can push corporations to use explainable AI (XAI) approaches like SHAP or LIME that come with technical overhead (Hendriksen, 2023). Meanwhile, multinational corporations like Amazon, Walmart, and DHL encounter cross-jurisdictional confusion when it comes to guideline-oriented U.S. regulation balancing on

binding EU law requirements or OECD-inspired voluntary principles.

Hence, the regulatory environment further pushes the demand for efficient, predictive, and transparent AI in supply chains that are resilient and compliant with regulations. The techniques that we have today are still competent in terms of technical prowess, but too often fragile with regard to explainability, scalability, and alignment with governance gaps that policy efforts increasingly attempt to address.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF CURRENT METHODS

Application of AI and ML to SCM has resulted in tangible benefits as observed in forecasting, logistics, and risk management. Yet, systematic reviews and corporate case studies suggest ongoing shortcomings in adaptability, transparency, and scalability.

Strengths of Current Approaches

Machine learning and deep-learning models, like LSTM networks and CNNs, achieve superior performance to conventional statistical methods in demand prediction, inventory demand and shipment risk forecasting. In retailing, ML-based forecasting has yielded a decrease of between 5% and 10% in stockout and overstock rates (Terrada *et al.*, 2022).

Additionally, Companies, for instance, like Amazon and Walmart have used AI to maximize fill rates and fulfillment that produce significant logistics and warehousing cost savings. For example, DHL has adopted and achieved cost and downtime reduction through predictive maintenance applications (Walter *et al.*, 2025; Hendriksen, 2023).

Also, optimization models powered by AI allow the optimization of dynamic re-routing, resource allocation, and possibility for real-time adaptation to disruptions such as port closure, demand surge, and pandemic. Such systems increase the recovery resiliency by reducing the recovery latency (Iseri *et al.*, 2025).

Research is also emerging regarding AI's potential contribution towards the realization of circular supply chains, reverse logistics, and closed-loop systems. Applications include recycling and resource recovery, where predictive analytics can minimize waste and maximize material flows (Iseri *et al.*, 2025; Farshadfar *et al.*, 2024).

Limitations of Current Approaches

The vast majority of AI applications developed in recent decades have been very domain-specific and thus not easily applied across industries. Methods such as multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) are potential avenues to be explored, yet they are mostly at the pilot or experimental stage (Mousa *et al.*, 2024; Zhou *et al.*, 2024).

Also, many AI systems are “black boxes”, and the lack of transparency makes it difficult for users to trust the systems and slows the adoption in high-stakes settings. Interpretability also presents challenges in satisfying governance needs. The importance of explainability has been overwhelmingly pointed out in system reviews on SCM applications (Amin, 2024; Hendriksen, 2023).

Enterprises also often struggle to deploy AI in heavily fragmented information systems and multi-tier supplier networks. This has led to limited scalability and confinement of many AI solutions to isolated pilot delivery projects rather than scaling up such products across an entire enterprise (Bahuguna *et al.*, 2023; Walter *et al.*, 2025).

In addition, guidelines such as NIST AI RMF or EU AI Act put emphasis on transparency and accountability, in many SCM AI applications, particularly in areas such as deep learning-based demand forecasting, reinforcement learning for dynamic inventory optimization, and predictive maintenance models in logistics fleets. This is not observed, especially regarding explainability, auditability, and human intervention (Hendriksen 2023).

Often, adoption is delayed by workforce skills gaps, underinvestment in digital transformation, and cultural resistance to decision-making driven by algorithms. Such socio-technical barriers are as important as the technical barriers in terms of influencing adoption (Amin, 2024; Riad *et al.*, 2024).

The potential of AI integration in SCM systems has held the vision of substantial competitive advantages in forecasting, efficiency, agility, and sustainability. Nonetheless, prevalent restrictions in flexibility, interpretability, integration, governance congruence, and organizational preparedness are preventing existing systems from accommodating the volatility and complexity of today’s supply chains. These constraints underscore the necessity for focused interventions

that extend beyond “pilots” and enable AI uptake that is scalable, transparent, and sustainable. To address these limitations, we present in the next section a collection of focused responses that aim at boosting forecasting, adaptability, resilience, explainability, and regulatory consistency in SCM.

Filling the Gaps: Ensuring More Widespread AI Adoption in the Supply Chain

Data, Visibility, and Interoperability

Data and IT teams need to collaborate with supply chain executives to connect internal enterprise systems like Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Warehouse Management Systems (WMS), and Transportation Management Systems (TMS) with external data sources such as weather reports, promotional calendars, and supplier score cards. Studies have demonstrated that the accuracy of demand forecasting can be enhanced by including exogenous signals (Terrada *et al.*, 2022; Iseri *et al.*, 2025). This consolidated perspective also minimizes blind spots, enabling planning teams to identify and forecast for disruption before it happens.

The Chief Supply Chain Officer (CSCO) needs to start working with data engineers and procurement teams on schema-based contracts with suppliers and logistics partners (if it’s not already the case) in order to ensure both data timeliness and quality. These contracts help mitigate the potential problem in large-scale SCM applications, which is the model drifting due to consistent and reliable inputs (Amin 2014).

Also, Operations research experts and digital twin teams should keep a library of such disruption scenarios (for instance, port closures or sudden demand spikes) and test responses against these in hybrid simulation environments. Platforms like AnyLogic enable supply chains to integrate agent-based, discrete-event, and system dynamics models in a secure way to stress-test policies in advance of implementation.

Machine Learning Technique Upgrades

Advanced ML methods (e.g. LSTM, TCN, or gradient-boosted models), enhanced with promotions and seasonality as well as macroeconomic variables, should be employed by analytics leaders. Bringing together SKU store region forecasts hierarchies with uncertainty intervals (e.g., quantile loss, conformal prediction). Planners can mitigate bullwhip and have less risky stocking decisions (Terrada *et al.*, 2022; Iseri *et al.*, 2025).

Data science teams need to produce RL models with SLAs and max backlog hard constraints present from the beginning. These models need to be verified against historical scenarios prior to deployment. It is also known that RL can do better than classical methods for dynamic stock optimization if the uncertainty is explicitly considered (Zhou *et al.*, 2024).

Researcher groups and innovation teams in the industry can employ MARL to coordinate decisions among suppliers, DCs, and retailers. Each node in the supply chain is represented as an agent, and by decentralized decision-making, MARL avoids bullwhip effects and enhances adaptability against uncertainty (Zhou *et al.*, 2024; Mousa *et al.*, 2024).

GNNs can also be employed by risk management teams to map and visualize the dependencies of supply chain members, to detect crucial vulnerability clusters. If we simulate perturbations on the node or edge level (e.g., a port closure or a provider shutting down), GNNs are able to propose how one would proactively reroute traffic (Kotecha & del Rio Chanona, 2025).

To earn trust, analytics leads need to integrate explainability tools like SHAP and LIME into forecasting and optimization models. It then provides auditors and planners visibility on which their decisions (literally) depend, and it does so in a way that removes CreateSpace barrier to AI adoption in regulated industries (Hendriksen, 2023; Amin, 2024).

Adoption, MLOps, and Change Management

Led by supply chain leadership, MLOps engineers could deploy feature stores, model registries, and systems for monitoring drift detection. Regular model health checks and gradual rollouts (starting with small-scale testing before full deployment) can help lift AI system out of the pilot purgatory into dependable enterprise systems (Amin, 2024).

Planners and supply chain managers can also review and override low-confidence, high-impact AI recommendations. For example, when the system indicates that the safety stock should be reduced during the time when there is known instability of the suppliers, the managers can decide to keep the levels higher due to the knowledge of the context. Their interventions can be logged and passed back into the feedback loop of the model pipeline, so that we establish an active learning cycle which converges over time to a maximally accurate system (Amin, 2024).

AI in SCM councils consisting of supply chain, IT, and compliance functions should be created cross-functionally by executives. Training programs and incentive structures need to be centered more around not just efficiency and accuracy, but also interpretability and governance. Research has demonstrated that organizational resistance and skills deficits are still major obstacles to adoption (Riad, *et al.*, 2024; Amin, 2024).

Regulatory and Governance Alignment

Compliance management working with data scientists should keep model cards, bias audits, and incident playbooks consistent with NIST AI Risk Management framework. This ensures that deployment of AI in SCM conforms to the U.S. requirements for responsible and ethical AI.

Prepare for the EU AI Act:

Multinational organizations should be placing SCM AI systems into risk buckets, embedding human oversight for high-risk decisions, and keeping track of compliance documentation. Even non-EU firms will have to obey if their supply chains do business in EU markets (EU, 2024).

Embed practices in OECD AI Principles:

The OECD AI Principles highlight the principles of inclusiveness, human-centered values, transparency, and accountability as the means of reducing the risks in society. Although they are not binding, adherence to corporate practices to these principles can enhance the regulatory response and lessen the enforcement action. Consequently, the OECD framework has gained a significant role in establishing world standards on responsible AI adoption.

Sustainability and Circularity Integration

Sustainability units should work with logistics organizations using predictive analytics to forecast the return of products and recycling streams. These may support efficient collection and resource recovery, as demonstrated by research on the recycling of photovoltaic panels (Iseri *et al.*, 2025).

Leaders should integrate carbon emissions reduction, recycling productivity and waste minimization into the KPIs of their AI optimization. This enables them to jointly consider minimizing costs, maximizing resilience and environmental performance of the system (Farshadfar *et al.*, 2024).

These solutions show there is not a one-fit-all framework, but systematic action based on the

nature of intervention itself. By overcoming data silos, approach divides, adoption frictions, governance requirements, and sustainability mandates, businesses can turn AI experiments into scalable, robust, and policy-conformant solutions. The next section will offer validation approaches and cases for application of these solutions, showing the practical usefulness in real and simulated supply chain scenarios.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This research opens some directions for future research: they can explore methods to enhance interpretability, robustness, and sustainability. Potential areas of development include hybrid human-AI reasoning to improve robustness, transfer learning and domain adaptation for broader cross-industry use, federated learning for secure collaboration without sharing data, and digital twin coupling for scenario testing in an ongoing fashion (Riad *et al.*, 2024; Sinoimeri & Teta, 2023). Incorporating carbon and recycle metrics within the optimization systematic model would be helpful for developing solutions that satisfy circular economy agenda (Farshadfar *et al.*, 2024). Furthermore, as the regulatory landscape changes, we need Policy-Responsive AI tools able to operate in different governance systems.

Collectively, these perspectives indicate that the future of AI in SCM is not necessarily a single blanket model but rather a range of solutions, each reflecting different challenges. By advancing the frontiers of forecasting, adaptability, risk modeling, explainability and sustainability, integrating these practices into corporate data governance standards, supply chains can become resilient, transparent, and sustainable systems.

CONCLUSION

The SCM challenges, combined with the tide of global constraints across supply chains, are exceeding the capacities of conventional management techniques. Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) may have transformative potential, but they come with challenges relating to data interoperability, explainability, scalability, and governance. This study reviewed the progress in AI of SCM, as well as its strengths, such as enhanced forecasting, operational efficiency, agility, and weaknesses, shortcomings. To overcome these weaknesses, it suggested focus areas for solutions, such as advanced forecasting, reinforcement learning to adapt, graph neural networks for resilience, explainability with human oversight, and

sustainability. The application of this filter has been approved in industrial cases, and literature shows increasing validation. The harnessing of AI in SCM will hinge on a gradual, coordinated application of these solutions, suitable to the evolving governance mechanisms, to construct supply chains that are more resilient, transparent, and sustainable.

REFERENCES

1. AI, NIST. "Artificial intelligence risk management framework (AI RMF 1.0)." *AI* 100-1 (2023).
2. Akhlaghi, V. E., Zandehshahvar, R., & Van Hentenryck, P. "Propel: Supervised and reinforcement learning for large-scale supply chain planning." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.07383* (2025).
3. Amin, R. "Implementing Machine Learning in Supply Chain Management." (2024).
4. Bahuguna, D., Kaur, J., & Singh, B. "Artificial Intelligence's Integration in Supply Chain Management: A Comprehensive Review." *European Economics Letter* 13.3 (2023): 1512-1527.
5. EU Artificial Intelligence Act. "The European Union Artificial Intelligence Act." (2024).
6. Farshadfar, Z., Mucha, T., & Tanskanen, K. "Leveraging Machine Learning for Advancing Circular Supply Chains: A Systematic Literature Review." *Logistics* 8.4 (2024): 108.
7. Ganjare, S. A., Satao, S. M., & Narwane, V. "Systematic literature review of machine learning for manufacturing supply chain." *The TQM Journal* 36.8 (2024): 2236-2259.
8. Hendriksen, C. "Artificial intelligence for supply chain management: Disruptive innovation or innovative disruption?" *Journal of Supply Chain Management* 59.3 (2023): 65-76.
9. House, W. "Executive Order 14028, Improving the Nation's Cybersecurity." (2021).
10. Iseri, F., Iseri, H., Chrisandina, N. J., Iakovou, E., & Pistikopoulos, E. N. "AI-based predictive analytics for enhancing data-driven supply chain optimization." *Journal of Global Optimization* (2025): 1-28.
11. Jahin, M. A., Naife, S. A., Saha, A. K., & Mridha, M. F. "AI in supply chain risk assessment: A systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10895* (2023).
12. Kotecha, N., & del Rio Chanona, A. "Leveraging graph neural networks and multi-

- agent reinforcement learning for inventory control in supply chains.” *Computers & Chemical Engineering* (2025): 109111.
13. Mousa, M. et al. “An analysis of multi-agent reinforcement learning for decentralized inventory control systems.” *Computers & Chemical Engineering* 188 (2024): 108783.
 14. OECD. “OECD principles on AI: Transparency and explainability.” (2022).
 15. Oviedo, J. et al. “ISO/IEC quality standards for AI engineering.” *Computer Science Review* 54 (2024): 100681.
 16. Pasupuleti, V., Thuraka, B., Kodete, C. S., & Malisetty, S. “Enhancing supply chain agility and sustainability through machine learning: Optimization techniques for logistics and inventory management.” *Logistics* 8.3 (2024): 73.
 17. Riad, M., Naimi, M., & Okar, C. “Enhancing supply chain resilience through artificial intelligence: developing a comprehensive conceptual framework for AI implementation and supply chain optimization.” *Logistics* 8.4 (2024): 111.
 18. Sinoimeri, D., & Teta, J. “Systematic Literature Review of Machine Learning in Supply Chain Management.” (2023).
 19. Terrada, L., El Khaili, M., & Ouajji, H. “Demand forecasting model using deep learning methods for supply chain management 4.0.” *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications* 13.5 (2022).
 20. Walter, A., Ahsan, K., & Rahman, S. “Application of artificial intelligence in demand planning for supply chains: a systematic literature review.” *The International Journal of Logistics Management* 36.3 (2025): 672-719.
 21. Warraich, H. J., Tazbaz, T., & Califf, R. M. “FDA perspective on the regulation of artificial intelligence in health care and biomedicine.” *JAMA* 333.3 (2025): 241-247.
 22. Zhou, T., Xie, L., Zou, C., & Tian, Y. “Research on supply chain efficiency optimization algorithm based on reinforcement learning.” *Advances in Continuous and Discrete Models* 2024.1 (2024): 51.

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:

Ogunsola, O., Serh, L. Z. and Hutchful, N. " Adaptive AI Systems for Intelligent Decision Optimization in Supply Chain Management: A Machine Learning Approach." *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences* 4.12 (2025): pp 10-18.