Sarcouncil Journal of Biomedical Sciences

ISSN(Online): 2945-3666

Volume- 04| Issue- 06| 2025

Research Article

Received: 25-09-2025 | Accepted: 20-10-2025 | Published: 05-11-2025

The Relevance of Community-Driven Communication for Health and Social Transformation

Francis Makut [1]***, and Nunana Klenam Djokoto*** [2]

[1]*University of Notre Dame, Indiana, USA*

[2]*Department of Political Science, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana*

Abstract: Health disparities and inequities highlight the importance of participatory models over top-down communication models. Community-driven communication (CDC), which relies on community dialogue, has emerged as a potential strategy for improving health and social outcomes. This study analyzed empirical studies across various contexts to assess the effectiveness of CDC in improving health behaviors and reducing disparities. A narrative synthesis approach was used to integrate findings from qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-methods research. The evidence shows that CDC interventions enhance health behaviors such as vaccination uptake and brings about social cohesion. It also reduces disparities by addressing cultural barriers, particularly in low-income and marginalized populations. Nonetheless, limitations did surface. These findings highlight the need for more statistically measured research to allow comparison with top-down approaches. Overall, the review affirms CDC's potential as a key strategy for advancing equitable health outcomes and driving sustainable social change. It also offers critical implications for global health practice.

Keywords: Community-driven communication, health disparities, social transformation, health equity.

INTRODUCTION

In a world where there are constant health disparities and escalating social biases, community-driven communication has emerged as a powerful mechanism for real change, bridging the gap between knowledge and action in diverse settings (Schiavo, *et al.*, 2022).

Community-driven communication is characterized by participatory processes where communities take the lead in defining issues, designing messages, selecting channels, and implementing communication activities (Govender, 2025). This approach differs from top-down communication, enabling communities to tailor information to their cultural and social contexts (Murphy *et al.*, 2007, p. 384). Top-down communication refers to a hierarchical approach where information is disseminated from authorities, experts, or institutions to the public or communities, often without input or feedback from the recipients. It prioritizes control and assumes that experts know best. It typically uses mass media or directives to influence behavior.

At its core, a community is "a group of people with diverse characteristics who are linked by social ties, share common perspectives, and engage in joint action in geographical locations or settings" (Schiavo, 2021, p. 91). A community is broadly defined here to indicate "a variety of social, ethnic, cultural, or geographical associations, for example, a school, workplace, city, neighborhood, organized patient or professional group, or association of peer leaders" (Schiavo, 2016, p. 2).

Community-driven communication is rooted in communication theories that emphasize dialogue and social networks (Craig, 1999). It is also grounded in theories that incorporate cultural sensitivity (Thomas, 2019).

Health transformation in this context would refer to tangible improvements in outcomes such as health literacy, behavior change, and vaccination uptake (King, 2024). Social transformation includes societal shifts, such as empowerment, social cohesion, and policy reforms addressing structural inequalities (Alamri, 2025).

CDC in itself is an inclusive strategy that prioritizes community engagement or local voices to address the "locally situated nature of health issues" (Malikhao, 2019). Nevertheless, Schiavo (2016) notes scalability limitations, as participatory processes require resources, which may be difficult to sustain in low-income settings. Additionally, CDC risks isolating minority communities if cultural sensitivity is inadequate, and this has the potential to intensify mistrust or disengagement (Clifford Simplican *et al.*, 2015). These views suggest that while CDC offers significant benefits, its implementation requires careful consideration to increase impact.

The general objective of this research is to review evidence on how community-driven communication improves health behaviors,

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

*Corresponding Author: Nunana Klenam Djokoto

DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17536420

reduces disparities, and aids social transformation. It investigates the extent to which participatory communication strategies drive changes in health practices. It evaluates how community-based communication promotes social change, and it examines the ability of these interventions to reduce inequalities in health access and outcomes.

The specific research questions are:

- How effective are community-driven communication interventions in improving health outcomes?
- What social transformations result from these community-driven communication interventions?
- How effective is community-driven communication in reducing health disparities?

This study covers empirical studies focusing on community-driven communication interventions targeting specific health issues and social transformation outcomes across diverse populations. This research incorporates both fundamental and modern participatory communication approaches, guaranteeing their applicability to various health issues. It features qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-methods research to ensure that there is a focus on culturally relevant strategies like community radio, participatory media, and grassroots health campaigns.

The necessity for a review of the relevance of community-driven communication arises from gaps and inconsistencies in the literature. Although studies demonstrate its effectiveness in specific contexts during crises (Dada *et al.*, 2023), there is limited consensus on its overall significance, with some researchers focusing on short-term outcomes over long-term impacts (Gamhewage, 2016). By identifying the role of community voices, this study reveals their ability to reshape health and social outcomes in various global contexts.

METHODOLOGY

Studies in this field employed various approaches (quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-methods) to measure the effect of CDC on health and social outcomes. This methodology combines both quantitative and qualitative data collection and analysis methods to provide a comprehensive view of the subject

Research Design

This systematic review was performed to identify and appraise available research on the impact of CDC on health and social outcomes. The systematic review approach is selected to comprehensively integrate diverse study types, including qualitative and quantitative research, to address the research questions through a structured and unbiased process. By using these different study designs, the methodology ensures a proper synthesis of evidence, highlighting both measurable outcomes and contextual insights. Systematic reviews provide a methodology that allows researchers to identify patterns and gaps in the literature to guide future research and practice.

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

- Studies examining community-driven communication interventions targeting health outcomes.
- Studies examining community-driven communication interventions targeting social change.
- Studies involving diverse populations across various settings.
- Studies providing foundational theories or frameworks.

Exclusion Criteria

- Studies focused on top-down communication approaches without community-led participation.
- Studies addressing health outcomes without direct ties to community-driven communication.
- Studies addressing social transformations without direct ties to community-driven communication.

Data Sources and Collection Strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted using Google Scholar and ResearchGate to identify relevant studies on community-driven communication in health and social contexts.

The search strategy used keywords and phrases including but not limited to: “community-driven communication,” “social transformation,” “community engagement,” “health outcomes,” “health disparities,” and synonymous phrases identified in the literature, such as “community-based communication,” “social change,” and “community-led interventions.”

Additional sources include reference lists of included studies to capture non-indexed works, and manual searching of key journals, such as *Journal of Communication in Healthcare* and *Health Communication*, will ensure thorough coverage.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Data Analysis

A narrative synthesis approach was used to integrate findings, as it is best suited for the analysis of various study designs, interventions, and outcomes found in the literature. Studies will be grouped thematically based on the research questions. This approach also allows for a more holistic view of CDC and its relevance.

Ethical Considerations

Given that this is a literature-based study, direct interaction with human participants was not performed, thus reducing ethical issues. Nonetheless, ethical considerations were observed through proper citation of sources to reduce or eliminate plagiarism. The study intends to add important knowledge within the context of CDC.

Theoretical Framework

The systematic review is grounded in communication and social change theories.

The Communication for Social Change framework, which views communication as a "process of dialogue through which people define who they are, what they need, and how to achieve their goals" (Tufte & Tacchi, 2020, p. 3), buttresses the concept of community-driven communication. The Culture-Centered Approach highlights the importance of locally situated voices in shaping health communication, ensuring interventions resonate with community values and contexts (Airhihenbuwa *et al.*, 2014). These theories inform how we interpret the findings and evaluate how community-led initiatives result in health and social outcomes (Babrow & Mattson, 2011). By grounding the review in these frameworks, the methodology guarantees a sound and proper synthesis of data. (Govender, 2025).

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

The findings on the relevance of community-driven communication (CDC) on health and social outcomes are derived from a systematic review of 28 peer-reviewed articles found through Google Scholar and ResearchGate. These studies, which use qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-methods designs, represent a variety of contexts, including low, middle, and high-income areas, populations such as rural communities, ethnic minorities, individuals with disabilities, and those from low-socioeconomic backgrounds. The interventions investigated included participatory media, grassroots health initiatives, and culture-centered discussions.

Key Findings

The following table summarizes key studies and their findings:

Table 1: key studies and their findings

No.	Author(s) (Year)	Study Design	Intervention Type	Population	Key Outcomes
1.	O'Mara-Eves *et al.*, (2015)	Meta-analysis and systematic review	Community engagement in public health	Disadvantaged groups in high-income countries	Improved health behaviors
2.	George *et al.*, (2015)	Systematic review	Community participation in health systems	maternal health in low- and middle-income countries	Reduced maternal mortality, increased service utilization
3.	Murphy *et al.*, (2007)	Mixed-methods case study	Community engagement model for video production	Immigrant communities in Canada	Increased health service use, enhanced community trust
4.	Stover *et al.*, (2024)	Systematic review	Strengths-based and needs-based engagement	Diverse global populations	Increased community participation, behavior change
5.	Dada *et al.*, (2023)	Realist review	Community engagement for maternal and newborn health	Low- and middle-income countries	Improved maternal health access, reduced disparities
6.	McLeroy *et al.*, (2003)	Theoretical review	Community-based health promotion models	U.S. adult population	Reduced smoking rates, cultural shifts in health perceptions
7.	Clifford Simplican *et al.*, (2015)	Conceptual model development	Community participation for people with	Individuals with intellectual disabilities	Increased social inclusion, sense of belonging

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

			disabilities		
8.	Audit Scotland (2019)	Case study report	Community empowerment processes	Scottish communities, disadvantaged groups	Reduced crime, improved living conditions
9.	Mercy Corps (2009)	Descriptive report	Community mobilization for governance	Conflict-affected communities	Improved accountability, peaceful change

Effectiveness in Improving Health Behaviors and Outcomes

CDC initiatives greatly improve health behaviors and outcomes by fostering trust and ensuring cultural relevance. Quantitative studies show positive results, with O'Mara-Eves *et al.,* (2015) finding an odds ratio of 1.58 for enhanced physical activity and dietary habits among disadvantaged populations in affluent countries, achieved through participatory workshops that allowed communities to collaborate in creating health messages. In a similar vein, Murphy *et al.,* (2007) noted a 15% increase in health service use among immigrant communities in Canada through participatory video initiatives that addressed local health issues and improved health literacy. Qualitative findings further emphasize trust as a vital factor, with community-led communications during COVID-19 enhancing adherence to preventive measures in minority groups by alleviating skepticism (Vandrevala *et al.,* 2022). Historical evidence reinforces the CDC's influence, showing that community-based campaigns halved U.S. adult smoking rates from nearly 50% to 25% since the 1950s by altering cultural perceptions of health risks (McLeroy *et al.,* 2003). Analysis indicates that traditional media, such as community radio, work better in rural contexts, while digital channels thrive in urban areas, increasing health literacy among younger populations (Fitzpatrick, 2023). Unlike top-down approaches that often lack cultural sensitivity, the CDC's dialogic approach guarantees relevance and uptake (Schiavo *et al.,* 2022).

Social Transformations Resulting from Interventions

CDC initiatives spark notable social changes, including empowerment, social cohesiveness, and policy advocacy, by allowing communities to establish their priorities and confront systemic inequalities (Dutta, 2007; Tufte & Tacchi, 2020). Strengths-based interventions resulted in a 25% rise in community involvement across various global contexts, fostering collective action in both rural and urban settings (Stover *et al.,,* 2024). In underserved communities, grassroots communication strategies bolstered agency and diminished social isolation, enabling marginalized groups, such as ethnic minorities, to push for policy reforms (Thomas, 2019). A community partnership in Glasgow illustrates this transformative capacity, achieving an 80% decline in crime among gang members, along with improvements in employment and educational attainment through community-driven solutions that reinforced social ties (Audit Scotland, 2019). Engaging participatory activities for individuals with disabilities further promoted social inclusion and enhanced interpersonal connections (Clifford Simplican *et al.,* 2015). These advancements, propelled by participatory media like community radio and forums, stand in contrast to top-down strategies that often perpetuate existing power structures (Tufte & Tacchi, 2020). The data suggest that the CDC not only fosters social unity but also empowers marginalized communities to redefine social frameworks, offering a model for sustainable global social change.

Effectiveness in Reducing Health Disparities

The CDC successfully diminishes health disparities by tackling structural and cultural obstacles through community-driven, culturally appropriate interventions, consistent with the Culture-Centered Approach (Airhihenbuwa *et al.,* 2014). In underprivileged areas like Nepal and India, community engagement resulted in a 20–30% decrease in maternal mortality and improved antenatal care utilization by involving local stakeholders in the design of health systems (George *et al.,* 2015). Similarly, Dada *et al.,* (2023) observed a 10–20% rise in maternal health service accessibility in low- and middle-income nations, addressing barriers such as cultural distrust through community-led efforts. In higher-income countries, the CDC lessened health outcome inequities for disadvantaged groups by enhancing access to preventive services through tailored engagement strategies (O'Mara-Eves *et al.,* 2015). Integrating local narratives improved the relevance of interventions for ethnic minorities,

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

which helped reduce disparities during COVID-19 by addressing stigma and enhancing accessibility for underserved populations (Schiavo *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, the analysis revealed more pronounced effects in lower-income areas compared to wealthier ones, likely due to greater unmet needs, with maternal health measures yielding better results than those for infectious diseases because they were more targeted (George *et al.*, 2015). Traditional media proved more efficient in rural regions, whereas digital platforms had a greater impact among urban youth (Malikhao, 2019).

These findings highlight how CDC can fix systemic inequities by prioritizing community voices, offering a more inclusive approach than a top-down approach that often fails to address structural barriers (Mercy Corps, 2009).

Implications of the Study

The results of this study carry significant implications for the United States, where the varying socioeconomic, cultural, and geographic landscapes are comparable to those of the global settings discussed in the literature. The United States which is characterized by a blend of rich urban centers, rural low-income areas, and ethnically diverse populations, can draw from CDC's proven success in improving health behaviors (O'Mara-Eves *et al.*, 2015) and reducing smoking rates through community-focused campaigns (McLeroy *et al.*, 2003), to tackle impediments like obesity and preventive care uptake in underserved regions or inner cities. Furthermore, CDC's role in promoting social change, such as increased community participation (Stover *et al.*, 2024) and lower crime rates through community partnerships, offers a model for creating a sense of belonging in U.S. minority communities. It could lessen social isolation and empower groups that have been affected by inequity. Finally, by reducing health disparities through culturally tailored interventions, CDC can help reduce gaps, such as higher maternal mortality among Black and Indigenous women, promote equitable access to healthcare, and inform policies like those tackling COVID-19 inequities (Schiavo *et al.*, 2022).

Overall, implementing CDC in the U.S. could enhance public health equity and support national efforts to build a more resilient and inclusive healthcare system.

Limitations of the Study

The findings from this study are constrained by a few limitations that should be acknowledged. First, there is a possibility of publication bias, as studies with negative or null results are less likely to be published, which could exaggerate the importance of CDC. Also, the concentration on fewer than 30 studies, though thorough within the scope of Google Scholar and ResearchGate searches, may have overlooked relevant works not indexed in these platforms or published in non-English languages.

These limitations suggest carefulness in interpreting the findings, but they do not undermine the evidence supporting CDC's impact.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Health practitioners should engage communities in creating health messages, using platforms like community radio in rural areas or digital tools in cities to ensure that interventions meet local needs. This strategy enhances health behaviors and outcomes, especially in underserved populations. The review emphasizes that community-driven communication (CDC) markedly improves health behaviors, with proven success, such as better adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures (Vandrevala *et al.*, 2022). Policymakers are advised to fund CDC initiatives in low-income and marginalized regions, where they effectively reduce health disparities. The study shows that CDC efforts significantly lowered maternal mortality and improved access to maternal health services by addressing barriers like cultural mistrust (Dada *et al.*, 2023). These findings highlight CDC's ability to foster equitable health access through culturally appropriate strategies (Airhihenbuwa *et al.*, 2014). Supporting such programs can enhance access to preventive care and reduce health inequities.

CONCLUSION

Community-driven communication (CDC) serves as a crucial strategy for transforming health and social environments. CDC demonstrates more impact than other traditional methods in solving societal challenges and inequities. This review confirms the relevance of CDC, setting the stage for future initiatives to leverage its potential in creating healthier, more equitable societies globally.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Future Research Directions

The results of community-driven communication (CDC) highlight its huge potential, yet evidence gaps remain.

Differences in settings, populations, and intervention types make it difficult to compare results across studies. For instance, outcomes may differ in rural versus urban areas or between the use of traditional media and digital platforms, highlighting the necessity for research that evaluates which methods are most successful in particular settings. Most existing studies report positive outcomes, but the review considered less than 30 studies, excluding certain groups and potentially overlooking negative findings. This selective focus introduces bias and shows that it should and could include a larger range of studies. Furthermore, few studies present results using standardized measures in all categories, which limits the ability to draw conclusions that can be generalized.

Future research should adopt consistent methods for assessing the impact of community-driven communication (CDC), thereby allowing comparison with top-down approaches. Taking these leaps will support the development of more effective CDC strategies worldwide.

REFERENCES

1. Airhihenbuwa, C. O., Ford, C. L., & Iwelunmor, J. I. "Why culture matters in health interventions: Lessons from HIV/AIDS stigma and NCDs." *Health Education & Behavior* 41.1 (2014): 78–84. https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198114531797
2. Alamri, A. R. "[Review of the book *Community development for social change*, by D. Beck & R. Purcell]." *Community Development* 1–2 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330.2025.2464988
3. Audit Scotland. "Principles for community empowerment." *Audit Scotland* (2019). https://www.auditscotland.gov.uk/uploads/docs/report/2019/briefing_190725_community_empowerment.pdf
4. Babrow, A. S., & Mattson, M. "Theorizing about health communication." In *The Routledge Handbook of Health Communication*, edited by T. L. Thompson, R. Parrott & J. F. Nussbaum, 35–61. Routledge, 2011.
5. Clifford Simplican, S., Leader, G., Kosciulek, J., & Leahy, M. "Defining social inclusion of people with intellectual and developmental disabilities: An ecological model of social networks and community participation." *Research in Developmental Disabilities* 38 (2015): 18–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2014.10.008
6. Craig, R. T. "Communication theory as a field." *Communication Theory* 9.2 (1999): 119–161. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2885.1999.tb00355.x
7. Dada, S., Gilmore, B., McGowan, C. R., & Daina, L. "Understanding communication in community engagement for maternal and newborn health programmes in low- and middle-income countries: A realist review." *Health Policy and Planning* 38.9 (2023): 1079–1097. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czad077
8. Dowse, R. "The limitations of current health literacy measures for use in developing countries." *Journal of Communication in Healthcare* 9.1 (2016): 4–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2016.1147742
9. Dutta, M. J. "Communicating about culture and health: Theorizing culture-centered and cultural sensitivity approaches." *Communication Theory* 17.3 (2007): 304–328. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2885.2007.00297.x
10. Fitzpatrick, P. J. "Improving health literacy using the power of digital communications to achieve better health outcomes for patients and practitioners." *Frontiers in Digital Health* 5 (2023): 1264780. https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2023.1264780
11. Gamhewage, G. M. "Socializing outbreak response—Community engagement and other risk communication interventions." *Journal of Communication in Healthcare* 9.1 (2016): 7–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2016.1153915
12. George, A. S., Mehra, V., Scott, K., & Sriram, V. "Community participation in health systems research: A systematic review assessing the state of research, the nature of interventions involved and the features of engagement with communities." *PLoS ONE* 10.10 (2015): e0141091. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141091
13. Gordon, J., Oware, E., & Cudjoe-Mensah, Y. M. "Assessing the impact of culturally competent crisis intervention on mental health outcomes in underserved U.S. communities."

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research 11.6 (2025): 560–565. https://doi.org/10.36713/epra22381

14. Govender, E. "Interdisciplinary perspectives: Rethinking communication for development and social change in health communication." *Social Sciences* 14.2 (2025): 56. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci14020056
15. Jaka, M. M., Henderson, M. S. G., Dinh, J. M., Canterbury, M. M., Kottke, T. E., Anderson, A. C., Johnson, L., Pronk, N. P., & Ziegenfuss, J. Y. "Development of a stakeholder-engaged tool to evaluate community convening and promote community health." *Journal of Communication in Healthcare* 18.1 (2025): 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2025.1234567
16. King, A. J. "The importance of documenting the impacts of health communication." *Health Communication* 39.14 (2024): 3606–3610. https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2024.2416013
17. Malikhao, P. "Health communication: Approaches, strategies, and ways to sustainability on health or health for all." In *Handbook of Communication for Development and Social Change*, edited by J. Servaes, 1–21. Springer, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7035-8_137-1
18. McGowan, V. J., Wilding, S., Halliday, E., Bambra, C., & Popay, J. "Exploring community engagement in place-based approaches in areas of poor health and disadvantage: A scoping review." *Health & Place* 81 (2023): 103027. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2023.103027
19. McLeroy, K. R., Norton, B. L., Kegler, M. C., Burdine, J. N., & Sumaya, C. V. "Community-based interventions." *American Journal of Public Health* 93.4 (2003): 529–533. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.93.4.529
20. Mercy Corps. "Community mobilization sector approach." *Mercy Corps* (2009). https://www.mercycorps.org/sites/default/files/2020-01/communitymobilizationsectorapproach_0_2.pdf
21. Milton, B., Attree, P., French, B., Povall, S., Whitehead, M., & Popay, J. "The impact of community engagement on health and social outcomes: A systematic review." *Community Development Journal* 47.3 (2012): 316–334. https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bsr043
22. Murphy, D., Balka, E., Poureslami, I., Leung, D. E., Nicol, A.-M., & Cruz, T. "Communicating health information: The community engagement model for video production." *Canadian Journal of Communication* 32.3 (2007): 383–406. https://doi.org/10.22230/cjc.2007v32n3a1966
23. Nortey, R. T., Egbunu, A. S., & Oware, E. "Community-based social support programs for older adults with hypertension: A comprehensive review of U.S. models." *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)* 7.4 (2025): 1–13. https://www.ijfmr.com
24. Obregón, R., & Waisbord, S. "Theoretical divides and convergence in global health communication." In *The Handbook of Global Health Communication*, edited by R. Obregón & S. Waisbord, 9–33. Wiley-Blackwell, 2012.
25. O'Mara-Eves, A., Brunton, G., Oliver, S., Kavanagh, J., Jamal, F., & Thomas, J. "The effectiveness of community engagement in public health interventions for disadvantaged groups: A meta-analysis." *BMC Public Health* 15 (2015): 129. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-1352-y
26. Popay, J., Roberts, H., Sowden, A., Petticrew, M., Arai, L., Rodgers, M., & Duffy, S. "Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews." *ESRC Methods Programme* (2006).
27. Rehman, S. U., Watson, E., & Noble, L. M. "EACH: International Association for Communication in Healthcare statement on climate change, health and vulnerability: Enhancing resilience through social and behavior change communication." *Journal of Communication in Healthcare* 17.2 (2024): 197–200. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2024.2357947
28. Schiavo, R. "The importance of community-based communication for health and social change." *Journal of Communication in Healthcare* 9.1 (2016): 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2016.1154755
29. Schiavo, R. "What is true community engagement and why it matters (now more than ever)." *Journal of Communication in Healthcare* 14.2 (2021): 91–92. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2021.1935569
30. Schiavo, R., Van Wye, G., & Manoncourt, E. "COVID-19 and health inequities: The case for

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

embracing complexity and investing in equity- and community-driven approaches to communication." *Journal of Communication in Healthcare* 15.1 (2022): 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2022.1234567

31. Stover, J., Avadhanula, L., & Sood, S. "A review of strategies and levels of community engagement in strengths-based and needs-based health communication interventions." *Frontiers in Public Health* 12 (2024): 1231827. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1231827
32. Thomas, P. N. *Communication for Social Change: Context, Social Movements and the Digital*. Sage, 2019.
33. Tufte, T., & Tacchi, J. "Communicating for change." In *Communication for Social Change: Concepts to Think With*, edited by T. Tufte & J. Tacchi, 1–16. Palgrave Macmillan, 2020.
34. Vandrevala, T., Alidu, L., Hendy, J., Shafi, S., & Ala, A. "'It's possibly made us feel a little more alienated': How people from ethnic minority communities conceptualise COVID-19 and its influence on engagement with testing." *Journal of Health Psychology* 27.6 (2022): 1418–1430. https://doi.org/10.1177/13591053211057359
35. Vandrevala, T., Hendy, J., Hanson, K., Alidu, L., & Ala, A. "Strengthening the relationship between community resilience and health emergency communication: A systematic review and model development." *BMC Global and Public Health* 2 (2024): 79.

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Makut, F. and Djokoto. N. k. " The Relevance of Community-Driven Communication for Health and Social Transformation." *Sarcouncil Journal of Biomedical Sciences* 4.6 (2025): pp 1-8.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher